

28 M A C T 988/24

ANUJ KUMAR Vs. SANDEEP KUMAR AND ORS

22.04.2026

Present: Sh. Mayank Verma, Ld. counsel for petitioner.  
Sh. Aman Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for R1 and R2.  
Sh. Rohan Mathur, Ld. proxy counsel for R3/insurance.

Ld. counsel for R1 and R2 has pointed out that on LDOH it is mentioned that reply of R1 and R2 is not on record which is wrong. The order appears to be incorrectly typed because reply of R1 and R2 was already taken on record when exparte order was set aside on 15.01.2026.

Ld. counsel for petitioner has moved an application for fresh referral of petitioner for assessment of disability. Earlier the petitioner was referred to Janakpuri Super Specialty Hospital and from there a report has been received that it is not possible to assess the disability of petitioner as the hospital does not have specialist concerned. Therefore, application is allowed and petitioner is referred to assess his disability.

List on 29.05.2026.

(Vikram)  
DJ-1+ MACT, N/W, Rohini Courts,  
22.04.2026