

**IN THE COURT OF SH. VIRENDER KUMAR BANSAL
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE
NORTH-WEST DISTRICT: ROHINI COURTS: DELHI**

CNR No. DLNW01-009021-2024

SC No. 749-24

State v. Rajkumar @ Raj

FIR No. 330/24

PS Prem Nagar

u/s. 307/506 IPC

18.10.2024

File taken up today on bail application u/s.483 BNSS moved on behalf of the applicant/accused Rajkumar @ Raj.

Present: Sh. G.S. Guraya, Ld. Chief PP for State.

Sh. Brijesh Kumar, Adv. for applicant/accused.

IO/SI Satender is present and filed reply.

Arguments heard on bail application.

(Bail Order)

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that applicant/accused is in custody since 13.06.2024; investigation is complete, charge sheet has already been filed. Applicant is having wife and two minor daughters, who are dependent upon the applicant only. He is having roots in the society. There is no evidence against him. It is a concocted story. The applicant is suffering severely as he is in jail since 13.06.2024. Ld. Counsel submitted that Hon'ble Supreme Court has time and again stated that bail is rule and not the jail. No purpose will be served by keeping him in custody and it is prayed that he be released on bail.

2. Ld. Chief PP for State opposed the bail and submitted that the applicant/accused cut the neck of his own wife. There was a lacerated wound measuring 10 cms x 3 cms x 2 cms and also the injury on the right hand first finger. Geeta Devi has specifically stated that it was the accused, who had cut her neck with the knife. There is every likelihood that if applicant is released on bail, he may commit another offence or may threaten the complainant or even attack her. It is prayed that under the circumstances, he be not granted bail.

3. After hearing the arguments and keeping in view the submissions, the facts of the case, I find that it is not only on that date but prior to that also, the applicant/accused threatened Geeta Devi/his wife, gave her beatings and on 13.06.2024 caused injury on her neck. Under the circumstances, this possibility that he, if released on bail, may attack the complainant or threaten her, cannot be ruled out. Evidence of complainant is yet to be recorded, the next date is 29.11.2024. No ground to enlarge him on bail is made out. The application stands dismissed.

4. **List on the date already fixed i.e. 29.11.2024 for PE.** Copy of the order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent, for information and necessary action. Copy of the order be also given dasti.

(Virender Kumar Bansal)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (NW)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/18.10.2024/sb