

State Vs. Deepak Dabas & Anr.
FIR No. 463/16
PS: Vijay Vihar

22.07.2017

Present: Sh. P. K. Samadhiya, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Accused Deepak Dabas in JC.
Accused Satbir in JC with Sh. Abhishek Kaushik, Advocate.

Although Sh. Kaushik states that whatever charges are made out in the case against accused Satbir may be framed so that the case matures for trial yet Ld. Addl. PP averred that sanction of competent authority is yet to be received under Sec. 39 of Arms Act. He has also pointed out certain deficiencies in investigation and that points raised by the prosecutor during scrutiny have been cursorily side tracked. The accused persons are not got identified from multiple public witnesses. It has also not been evaluated during investigation as to what offence is to be invoked against which of the accused persons. The Investigating Agency is further silent about the status of proceedings qua un-arrested accused persons.

Record reveals that the IO has not been following the case after filing the charge-sheet in court. For all purposes he or the SHO has to be summoned despite the serious nature of offence involved in the case, particularly keeping in view its background.

It has been noticed that Sh. Dharambir Goel, Ld. Counsel for accused Deepak has not been appearing for the last few dates. On being pointed out to the accused and proposing him to avail services of Legal Aid counsel, if he is unable to engage the services of either Sh. Goel or any other advocate, the

accused insists that his counsel will definitely appear on the next date as his family member will contact him in between.

Let IO/SI Narender, then SHO Insp. K. L. Meena and DCP, Rohini District be summoned for **16.08.2017**, for explanation.

(Sunil K. Aggarwal),
Addl. Sessions Judge-04 (North-West)
Rohini Courts/22.07.2017