

**IN THE COURT OF SH. KULJEEVAN SIDHARTH,
ASJ(SPECIAL COURT)/(N-W)/ROHINI COURTS, DELHI**

**25 MISC CRL 210/2026
STATE Vs. ROHTI ALIAS CHASNI
FIR No. 591/2025
PS Vijay Vihar**

27.07.2026

Present: Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Additional PP for the state.
Sh. Basant Kumar, Ld. Dy. LADC Counsel for
accused/applicant.

1. An application under Section 485 BNSS seeking release of accused/applicant on furnishing personal bond.
2. Reply to the said application is filed, copy thereof is supplied. IO has stated that residential address of accused/applicant was duly verified and statement of one Rukmini Devi and Rajesh has been recorded to the fact that accused has been residing at D-37, Budh Vihar, Phase-I, Rohini, Delhi.
3. Accused was granted regular bail by the court of Ld. ASJ-05, North West District, Rohini Courts vide order dated 04.06.2026 on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one surety in the like amount. It is stated in the present application that despite best efforts accused/applicant could not arrange surety bond. It is submitted by Ld. LADC by the accused that the financial condition of the accused is poor. However, the accused is a permanent resident of the address mentioned in para no. 2 of the

instant application and there are no chances of fleeing from justice. It is stated that accused has clean antecedents and has no criminal record. It is prayed that application be allowed.

4. Today the IO has filed a status report verifying the address of accused/applicant as given by him in the present application and it is further stated in the said status report that the applicant/accused to used to reside at the given address on rent which belongs to the maternal aunty i.e. *mausi* of the applicant/accused. Statement to this effect has also been recorded by the IO and filed along with the status report.
5. It is noted that the purpose of requiring the applicant/accused to furnish surety in addition to personal bond at the time of his release on bail is to secure the appearance of the accused during the trial. A 'surety' is usually a relative or friend or acquaintance of the accused. The surety undertakes that he shall be responsible for appearance of the accused before the Court and in case the accused absconds, he shall forfeit the surety/bond amount. This serves as a deterrent against the accused absconding. The surety also creates social and moral pressure on the accused to follow the bail conditions as his failure to do so may cause loss or inconvenience to the surety.
6. Further, the Court also gets assurance that a responsible person, who is known to the accused, is taking responsibility for the accused. In view of the guidelines laid down by the Supreme Court

in *Moti Ram vs. State of M.P, AIR 1978 SC 1594* and *In Re-Policy Strategy for grant of bail', Suo-Moto W.P. (Crl) 4/2021*, the bail condition regarding furnishing of surety as stated in Order dated 04.04.2026 is modified to the extent that the applicant/accused is exempted from furnishing surety at this stage. The applicant/accused be released on bail upon him executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/-. It is made clear that the applicant/accused is being granted temporary bail for 30 days so that during the said period he can arrange a surety.

7. The application is disposed off in aforesaid terms.
8. A copy of this Order be given *dasti* to the applicant/accused.
9. A copy of this Order be sent to the concerned Jail Authorities for service upon the applicant/accused.

(KULJEEVAN SIDHARTH)
ASJ (Spl. Court -Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi
27.07.2026