

ALLOYSMIN INDUSTRIES Vs. GYSCOAL ALLOYS LTD**07.04.2025**

Present: Sh. Jitesh Talwani, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Deepak Kumar Chopra and Sh. Virender Singh
Saroja, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

It is stated by the counsel for plaintiff that the receipt is not being filed on behalf of plaintiff. Statement of Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is recorded.

Qua the deposition of cost subject to which further opportunity was granted to the plaintiff to file the receipt, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff stated that there is no need for filing the cost once document is not being filed.

The cost was imposed by the court giving further opportunity to the plaintiff to file the comply the order vide order dated 16.12.2024 and if document was not to be filed by plaintiff, the Court could have been intimated on that day itself. The plaintiff is granted one opportunity to deposit the cost so that matter may be proceeded further.

As prayed, put up on 21.04.2025 for payment of cost and submissions on the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.

(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)
DJ-04/North-West
RHC/Delhi 07.04.2025