

21

CS DJ ADJ 1180/25

PUNEET SOLANKI Vs. RAJ KUMAR

01.06.2026

Present: Sh. Nishant Solanki, Ld Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Vineet Kumar, Ld. Proxy Counsel for defendant.

Today the matter is fixed for further proceedings.

An application u/o VI Rule 16 r/w Sec. 151 CPC has been filed on behalf of defendant for striking out pleadings in the WS and an application u/o VI Rule 17 CPC has been filed on behalf of plaintiff along with amended plaint.

Main counsel for defendant is not available however, proxy counsel for defendant submits that the defendant has no objection to the aforesaid application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC.

Perusal of the application shows that by way of amendment, plaintiff seeks to replace existing para no. 2 with new para in which an additional information with respect to the LRs of late Smt. Savitri Devi has to be incorporated and the word 'defendant' is to be replaced by the word 'defendants' in the whole plaint. Even in the prayer clause certain amendments are required.

Considering that the proposed amendments neither change the nature of the suit nor are likely to cause any prejudice to the opposite party and also the fact that the suit is at its initial stage, application is allowed. Amended plaint is taken on record.

Proxy counsel for defendant seeks time to take instructions with regard to accepting the existing WS or to file fresh WS.

List for further proceedings on **17.08.2026**.

Interim order in terms of the order dated 29.11.2025, to continue till NDOH.

(Abhitosh Pratap Singh Rathore)
DJ-05 (SW)/Dwarka Courts
New Delhi: 01.06.2026