

BHARAT BHUSHAN KAUSHIK Vs. NITIN KAUSHIK**31.07.2025**

Present: Sh. Mukesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Amit Madan, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Sh. Vipin Kumar, Assistant Manager with Canara Bank, Tota Ram Bazar, Tri Nagar, Delhi is present but has not brought the summoned record with the requisite certificate.

Upon going through the record brought by the witness, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff stated that summoning of the record with the requisite certificate will not fulfill the requirement as there is no transaction made by the defendant for Canara Bank. It is stated that defendant is maintaining his account with Union Bank of India and plaintiff be allowed to summon the record from the Union Bank of India.

Ld. Counsel for defendant stated that he will provide the details of the branch with which defendant is maintaining the account to the counsel for plaintiff. Upon furnishing of particulars, summons be issued to the bank witness.

Put up for PE on 10.10.2025.

(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)

DJ-04/North-West

RHC/Delhi 31.07.2025