

6 EXECUTION CIVIL 302-24

Raj Singh Decd Thr Lrs And Ors Vs. Union Of India And Ors

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Eitesh Dabas, ld. counsel for DH through VC.

Warrants of attachment not issued as district nazir has raised objection with respect to parentage of decree holder. As per judgment, DH is son of Late sh. Baleram. However in the statement under Section 19, the DH is shown to be son of Sh. Gurdyaal. There is another mistake by LAC in raising the demand and the demand is raised in favour of Rgahuvir Singh S/o Sh. Bharat Singh. Therefore, LAC is directed to correct the mistake and file proper record.

To remove the doubts, main file be also summoned for next date of hearing.

List on 03.12.2025.

(Vikram)

**DJ-1+ MACT, N/W, Rohini Courts,
03.11.2025**