

- 30 Bail Matters 1332/2026 ABHISHEK SAVITA Vs. STATE
60001261/2025 (Cyber Police Station)
- 31 Bail Matters 1333/2026 ASHISH KUMAR SINGH Vs. STATE
60001261/2025 (Cyber Police Station)
- 32 Bail Matters 1334/2026 PRITI Vs. STATE 60001261/2025 (Cyber
Police Station)
- 33 Bail Matters 1335/2026 ANJALI SINGH Vs. STATE
60001261/2025 (Cyber Police Station)
- 34 Bail Matters 1336/2026 PRIYANKA BOSE Vs. STATE
60001261/2025 (Cyber Police Station)
- 35 Bail Matters 1337/2026 RIYA SINGH RAJAWAT Vs. STATE
60001261/2025 (Cyber Police Station)
- 36 Bail Matters 1338/2026 DEEPANJALI Vs. STATE
60001261/2025 (Cyber Police Station)

28.07.2026

One of the regular PAs is on leave today.

Present : Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
None for applicant/ accused.

1. **Vide this common order, the present seven applications arising out of the same FIR are being taken up together, as submissions made on behalf of all the applicants are almost identical.**

2. Ld. counsel for the applicants / accused has submitted that no similar applications are pending before any other Court.

3. Replies already filed. Copy thereof already supplied.

4. Ld. Counsel for applicants/ accused persons has argued on the lines of applications and has submitted that applicants have clean

antecedents and have never involved in any case. It is further stated that applicant/ accused Ashish Kumar Singh was called by one Rahul for the purpose of making donations to the society being managed by all the seven accused persons and when he had gone to meet the said Rahul, he was kidnapped by a gang of cyber criminals, who had held him captive for five days i.e. from 21.11.2025 to 25.11.2025. During which period, the account of their society maintained in Axis Bank in the name of Rudra Samagam Seva Samiti was used for crediting cheated amount of Rs.8,33,839/-. After being released by the gang of cyber criminals, the applicant/ accused Ashish Kumar Singh had made a police complaint to the Commissioner of Police on 30.11.2025. Ld. Counsel for accused persons further submits that police registered FIR on the complaint of applicant/ accused Ashish Singh on 02.07.2026. It is further stated that applicant/ accused persons are victims and they have no connection with the alleged cyber crime. With these submissions, Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused persons prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

5. Ld. Addl. PP for State assisted by IO strongly opposed the anticipatory bail applications on the ground that apart from the criminal complaint regarding cheating of Rs.8,33,839/-, there are 53 other complainants of various other States and the total amount credited in the account of abovenamed society for Rs.4,93,82,837/-. It is further stated that there is direct evidence against the official members i.e. accused persons of Rudra Samagam Seva Samiti, as cheated amount was directly siphoned in their accounts. It is further stated that all the digital

and technical evidences are against alleged account holders. IO also submits during arguments that as per CDR location, applicant/ accused Ashish Singh was continuously in contact with alleged person namely Rahul and applicant/ accused was having location in Kanpur and then in Lucknow at the time of commission of crime and running of his bank accounts for further proceeds of cyber crime. It is further stated that investigation is still at initial stage and digital evidences, mobile phones, CDR are yet to be analyzed and verified. It is further stated that applicant/ accused are required to join investigation, recovery of digital evidences, identification of conspirators and traces of the cheated amount. It is further stated that grant of anticipatory bail at this stage may adverse the effect of investigation and may hamper the collection of material evidences.

6. Submissions heard. Record perused.

7. It is alleged against the accused persons that in furtherance of the conspiracy and in connivance with each other, accused Ashish Singh facilitated the transfer of a substantial part of the cheated amount i.e. a sum of approximately Rs.8,33,839/- into the bank account of aforesaid society of which he, alongwith several others, was a member / trustee. The Investigating Officer has submitted that, within a short span of time, the said Trust account received funds amounting to approximately Rs.4,93,82,837/- from various sources, for which no satisfactory explanation has emerged during investigation. Though only

a part of the amount allegedly cheated from the complainant was credited into the Trust account, the investigation has revealed that the said amount also formed part of the larger flow of suspicious transactions.

8. Ld. Counsel for the applicants has submitted that applicant/accused Ashish himself is a victim. It is contended that he was kidnapped by one Rahul on 21.11.2025 to 25.11.2025 and was wrongfully confined for about 5 days during which period he was compelled to withdraw/ transfer the amounts lying in the Trust accounts. It has also been argued that applicants have no previous antecedents. However, at this stage, the said defence does not inspire confidence. It is noteworthy that although the applicant Ashish Singh claimed to have been kidnapped on 21.11.2025, the present FIR came to be registered only on 02.07.2026. Further, despite his alleged release, the first complaint regarding the purported kidnapping was lodged only after about 5 days of alleged release. Prima-facie, such unexplained delay detracts from the credibility of the applicant's version. Moreover, as submitted by the IO, the call detail records collected during investigation do not support the applicant's claim of having remained confined at the alleged location in Lucknow for the period asserted by him.

9. The investigation is still at a nascent stage. The trail of the defrauded money is yet to be fully unearth and the proceeds of crime

are yet to be recovered. Having regard to the allegations, the magnitude of the transaction involved, the applicant's alleged role in facilitating the movement and diversion of the funds, and the requirement of an unhindered investigation, this Court is of the considered view that no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out at this stage.

10. Cyber fraud constitute a serious and growing menace to the society, affecting the confidence of the public in digital financial transactions. The manner in which the offence is alleged to be committed, coupled with the apparent organized nature of the operation, reflects the gravity of the offence. Consequently, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicants. **Accordingly, applications are dismissed.**

11. **It is clarified that nothing observed hereinabove shall have any bearing on the merits of the case.**

12. **Applications stand disposed off accordingly.**

**(MUNEESH GARG)
ASJ-03 (NORTH-WEST)
ROHINI COURTS
DELHI/28.07.2026**