

Witness present and duly Sworn on : 27.02.2026.

Further Cross Examination: by Sri. VKJ Advocate for accused No.1 and 3.

(The co-ordinator Sri. Praveen Kumar, Judicial Assistant / System Administrator, Computer Branch, Sessions Court, Patiala House, New Delhi appointed by the Prl. District and Sessions Judge, Patiala House, New Delhi is present. He submits that there is no disturbance in audio and video.)

16. It is true to suggest that, the ticket was booked on 14.09.2013. It is false to suggest that, our travel company at the time of booking tickets accept money. Witness volunteers that, at the time of ticket booking money is not mandatorily required but money is mandatorily required before the travel. When the learned advocate puts a suggestion that, people can walk into your office and book tickets, witness states that we generally do not deal with walking clients, if somebody comes with some reference then we deal with such clients. We have FAX and E-mail ID in our office.

17. It is true to suggest that, the tickets are sent through our office E-mail ID. While booking tickets the first name and last name has to be entered. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that, at the time of booking tickets you have to give your phone number, witness states that it is not mandatorily. It is true to suggest that, we make entry of first name and last name in our system. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that similarly you are required to make entry of E-mail ID of the person who has booked the ticket to send the ticket electronically to that person, witness

states that E-mail / FAX has to be entered to send tickets electronically either by E-mail or FAX. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that in Ex.P-74 there is no mention whether Ex.P-74 was electronically transmitted to the person who is traveling, witness states that once a ticket is issued, it is sent by FAX or E-mail ID.

18. It is false to suggest that, Once E-mail is sent, E-mail sent report is generated.

Question: When do you know that the ticket electronically transmitted to the person concerned, reached?

Answer: If that person will not receive the ticket, they will connect us, then we will send again.

19. It is true to suggest that, there is a inbox, outbox, sent box, bin box, spam box, trash box, which are part of the E-mail. If it is new mail then we have to compose it, in case of any old mail we can forward it.

Question: Have you sent Ex.P-74 by way of forward mail or by E-mail?

Answer: I do not recollect.

20. It is true to suggest that, how many E-mail IDs I had in the year 2013, I have the same E-mail IDs now also.

Question: Does your establishment stores data in server or individual computer?

Answer: It is a company domain.

21. It is true to suggest that, all the datas are stored in the company domain. Witness volunteers that, such datas get deleted automatically when the domain is full.

Question: What is the capacity of your domain and the storage space available?

Answer: It is a purely a technical question, I can not answer it.

22. It is true to suggest that, I am IATA registered agent. IATA stands for International Air Transport Association. It is false to suggest that, for every booking of ticket done by our company, we get commission from the airlines. It is false to suggest that, we are doing charity. We take the service charges from the clients. It is true to suggest that, the service charges collected from the clients is our income. The service charges are not quantified. I do not recollect how much service charges we charged for booking of ticket which is marked at- Ex.P-74. It is true to suggest that, the total fare mentioned in Ex.P-74 is Rs.45,885/-.

Question: Did you take extra amount than the value of ticket?

Answer: Some extra amount as service charges / mark-up has to be collected.

23. There is no policy regarding the exact amount of service charges and mark-up. We do not have policy of exact amount towards commission. I do not know whether our company domain was full in the year 2013 and

2014. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that, you can access all the datas when you send E-mails, witness states that we can access if the data is available. There is no specification that the E-mail datas get automatically deleted after 30 days. I do not know what was the terabyte capacity in our company domain and the E-mails as the same is technical question. We do not have technical person.

24. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that, you do not have a person for service of computer system, witness states that, whenever issue rises we call the technical person. I am not aware of the space required in our domain. It is true to suggest that, we purchase space from the GOOGLE. Witness volunteers that, it could be GOOGLE or any service provider. We have one service provider by name- REDIFF. It is true to suggest that, we purchase space from them. It is true to suggest that, Our office makes payment.

25. **Question:** How much of amount is paid for 1 terabyte space ?

Answer: Our office can verify and tell.

26. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that, the contents i.e., "AT CHECK -IN, PLEASE SHOW A PICTURE IDENTIFACTION AND THE DOCUMEWNT YOU GAVE FOR REFERENCE AT RESERVATION TIME" is rubbish, witness states that that is optional information, we do not take the original documents, so we do not enter this information, this is

verified by the airlines. It is true to suggest that, it is written in EX.P-74 that "AT CHECK -IN, PLEASE SHOW A PICTURE IDENTIFICATION AND THE DOCUMENT YOU GAVE FOR REFERENCE AT RESERVATION TIME".

27. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that the documents which are given to our company at the time of reservation the airlines will not know, witness states that passenger name entered in the booking as per passport. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that there are many persons by name- Ahamed Khan / Anas Furruq, witness states that there may be I can not say. It is true to suggest that, there are lakhs and crores of persons by name Afzal Ahammed. It is true to suggest that, there are lakhs and crores of persons by name- Ahammed Khan / Anas Furruq. It is true to suggest that, all such named persons will have passports.

28. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that without identity mention of the name of the father and passport number, you can not say which Anas Furruq traveled, witness states that after travel company issues ticket can not confirm the travel status, we can not confirm whether the ticket is used or not. It is true to suggest that, I can not say whether the ticket is used by Ahammed Khan / Anas Furruq. I do not know whether the ticket was no show at the airline counter. It is true to suggest that, that can be only confirmed by the airlines. It is true to suggest that, in this case it is Qatar airlines. It is true to suggest that, there is travel manifest boarding pass is

issued by the airlines. It is true to suggest that when boarding pass and manifest is issued by the airlines it can be stated that the passenger flew.

29. It is true to suggest that, without valid VISA, one can not travel out of India. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that in EX.P-74 there is nothing to show that the print out of Ex.P-74 was taken from our computer system, witness states that this is Airline format those details do not come. It is true to suggest that, the datas entered into the computer are available in our system. It is true to suggest that the copy of the datas entered into our system was not taken by the NIA. Witness volunteers that the print out of the ticket was taken by the NIA.

Question: Did you verify in your system whether the ticket No.ETKT1574147121035 available in your system?

Answer: In the booking system this details gets perched, so it was verified from the print out of the ticket.

30. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that in Ex.P-75 you have not mentioned the details of the system which stored the data, witness states that there were multiple system. When the learned counsel puts a suggestion that in Ex.P-75 you have not mentioned those systems were working without any defect, witness states that, there was no details mentioned in the letter regarding the functioning of the system.

31. It is true to suggest that, I signed the certificate U/Sec.65B of Evidence Act as the NIA police asked me to sign it. It is false to suggest that, without verifying the system and E-mail, I have issued certificate U/Sec.65B of Evidence Act. It is false to suggest that I am giving false evidence though I am fully aware that Ex.P-74 was not available in the system.

Cross Examination : by the learned counsel for accused No.2.

At this stage cross examination by learned counsel for accused No.2 is deferred to post lunch session as time now is 2.00 p.m.

(The evidence is recorded through video conferencing in the presence of the co-ordinator Sri. Praveen Kumar, Judicial Assistant / System Administrator, Computer Branch, Sessions Court, Patiala House, New Delhi, appointed by the Prl. District and Sessions Judge, Patiala House, New Delhi, the accused persons, and the learned counsel for accused persons).

(TYPED IN OPEN COURT TO MY DICTATION)

R O I & A C

(KEMPARAJU)

**XLIX Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge &
Spl. Judge for trial of NIA cases,
Bengaluru.**

Witness again present at- 3.10 P.M. and duly sworn

Cross Examination : by Sri. PU advocate, the learned counsel for accused No.2.

(The co-ordinator Sri. Praveen Kumar, Judicial Assistant / System Administrator, Computer Branch, Sessions Court, Patiala House, New Delhi appointed by the Prl. District and Sessions Judge, Patiala House, New Delhi is present. He submits that there is no disturbance in audio and video.)

32. Magnum Destination Management Pvt. Ltd. is a booking agent of Qatar Airways. The said Magnum Destination Management Pvt. Ltd. is ticketing agent. Witness further volunteers that the Magnum Destination Management Pvt. Ltd. provides travel services which includes ticketing also. We are authorized to use ticket format of Qatar Airways and issue tickets. When the learned advocate puts a suggestion that when you are authorized to use ticket format of Qatar Airways and issue tickets in the format of Qatar Airways, it will contain the Logo and the Bar-code of Qatar Airways, witness states that when we or anyone issue tickets on Airline website then Logo comes on the tickets, when we issue tickets in our office through their system Logo do not come on the tickets.

33. It is false to suggest that, whenever a ticket is issued either on the website or on the system, such tickets will be drawn on a ticketing format containing the Logo or Bar-code of the Airlines. It is false to suggest that, whenever a ticket has to be booked in the name of a person on the particular day, the booking agent has to make a request to the Airlines as to the availability of tickets on that day. We check the availability of the tickets in our office. The electronic ticket is downloaded from the system in which we make booking. It is true to suggest that, the ticketing system is owned by Qatar Airways. It is false to suggest that, such ticket will be issued by the Qatar Airways in their ticketing format containing Logo and Bar-code of Qatar Airways. Witness volunteers that the Logo is the patent of Qatar Airways and that can be used by the Qatar Airways only. When the learned advocate puts a suggestion that ticketing system of your office is owned by Qatar Airways, witness states that that is owned by Airlines they have given us access to issue the tickets in our office.

34. We do not have any ticketing App for the purpose of obtaining tickets. It is true to suggest that, the ticket can be typed out on any computer

system since it does not have any Logo or Bar-code. Witness volunteers that this document has Airline ticket number, without valid ticket number, Airline will not accept the document. It is false to suggest that, NIA and I created the documents. It is false to suggest that, the ticketing number mentioned in the ticket (Ex.P-74) is not that of Qatar Airways.

Re-Examination : NIL

(The evidence is recorded through video conferencing in the presence of the co-ordinator Sri. Praveen Kumar, Judicial Assistant / System Administrator, Computer Branch, Sessions Court, Patiala House, New Delhi, appointed by the Prl. District and Sessions Judge, Patiala House, New Delhi, the accused persons, and the learned counsel for accused persons).

(TYPED IN OPEN COURT TO MY DICTATION)

R O I & A C

(KEMPARAJU)

**XLIX Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge &
Spl. Judge for trial of NIA cases,
Bengaluru.**