

**01.06.2026**

Present : Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
Ld. Counsel Sh. Mohit Panchal for applicant/ accused through VC.  
Ld. Counsel Sh. Deepak Rana for complainant.  
IO HC Amit is present.

1. **The present application has been moved under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail for applicant/accused Rohit Dhakad.**

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that no similar application of the applicant/accused is pending before any other court.

3. Reply already filed. Copy thereof already supplied.

4. Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused argued on the lines of bail application. During the course of arguments, Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused submits that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that applicant is only 19 years old boy preparing for NEET exam and he has no previous antecedents. It is further stated that applicant had received two notices u/s. 35(3) BNSS from Investigating Agency dt. 28.02.2026 and 26.03.2026. It is further stated that applicant has already cooperated with the investigation process and is willing to join the investigation. It is further stated that custodial interrogation of applicant is not required in the present matter and no useful purpose would be served by arresting the applicant, therefore, he be released on anticipatory bail.

5. Ld. Addl. PP for State assisted by IO strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that cheated money trail was chased and it was revealed that entire money of complainant was siphoned off in multiple bank accounts and out of these transactions, one transaction of Rs.2 Lakhs was found transferred in PNB account of applicant. The mobile number of applicant was found registered in the said bank account. Ld. Addl. PP for State further submits that the bank account of applicant is found involved in 04 another cyber complaints in different States for the same *modus-operandi*. Ld. Addl. PP for State further prays for dismissal of present application as applicant has not joined investigation of the case despite service of two notices u/s. 35(3) BNSS.

6. Submissions heard. Record perused.

7. The allegations against the applicant are serious in nature. Reply of the IO reveals that custodial interrogation of applicant is required to ascertain the source, beneficiaries and involvement of co-accused in the present case. Keeping in view of the fact that Rs. 2,00,000/- has been transferred from the bank account of complainant to bank account of applicant/accused and his bank account is also involved in four other cyber complaints in different States for which no plausible explanation is offered by the accused, no ground is made out to allow the application. **Hence, the application stands dismissed.**

8. **The application stands disposed of accordingly.**

9. **Copy of the order be given dasti.**

(MUNEESH GARG)  
ASJ-03 (NORTH-WEST)  
ROHINI COURTS  
DELHI/01.06.2026