29 CS DJ 495/17 SUNIL KUMAR Vs. VIJAY KUMAR JHA

22.07.2025

Present : Sh. Sarthak Tomar, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
Ms. Asha, Ld. Proxy counsel for defendant.

In case titled as "**The Correspondence, RBANMS Educational Institution Vs. B. Gunashekar and Anr.**" in Civil Appeal No. 5200 of 2025, decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 16.04.2025, it has been directed that where a suit is filed with a claim that Rs.2,00,000/- or above is paid by cash towards any transaction, then the Court must intimate the same to the jurisdictional Income Tax Department to verify the transaction and the violation of Section 269 ST of the Income Tax Act.

Let copy of the plaint and copy of this order be sent along with notice to the Principal Director General of Income Tax Department in terms of order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The Department of Income Tax shall submit acknowledgment of receipt of notice, to this court by the next date.

I have heard arguments in part in respect of the application for leave to defend.

Put up for further arguments on 18.08.2025.

(Ashish Aggarwal)
District Judge-III
North-West District,
Rohini Courts, Delhi
22.07.2025