

New CS No. 578879/16
Old CS No. 634/16

18.11.2016

Present: Sh. Rajesh Kashyap, counsel for plaintiff.

None for the defendant.

Summons received back as served.

Be awaited for appearance on behalf of the defendant and be put
up at 12.00 Noon.

(Prashant Kumar)
ADJ-4(NW): Rohini Courts
18.11.2016

At 12.00 Noon.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Kashyap, counsel for plaintiff.

None for the defendant.

Be awaited for appearance on behalf of the defendant and be put
up at 2.00 pm.

(Prashant Kumar)
ADJ-4(NW): Rohini Courts
18.11.2016

At 2.00 pm.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Kashyap, counsel for plaintiff.
None for the defendant.

Cont..p/2

: 2 :

None has appeared on behalf of defendant despite service and despite several calls since morning. Absence of defendant is considered to be deliberate on his part. Accordingly, in view of facts and circumstances, he is proceeded ex-parte. Considering the fact that the defendant has been proceeded ex-parte, the following issues are framed as under :

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for a decree of possession of the suit property, as prayed for ? OPP.
2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of permanent injunction restraining the defendant from creating third party interest with regard to the suit property in question ? OPP.
3. Any other relief.

No other issue arises and pressed for.

Be put up for ex-parte evidence on 09.03.2017.

(Prashant Kumar)
ADJ-4(NW): Rohini Courts
18.11.2016