

**52 BAIL SC NO. 512/2025  
STATE VS. ANKIT @ GOLU  
FIR NO. 216/2025  
PS SULTAN PURI**

24.07.2026

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
Sh. Vishnu Joshi, Sh. Yaman Joshi, Sh. Ashu Singh,  
Sh. Ankit Bhushan and Ms. Archi Singh, Ld.  
Counsels for applicant/accused.

1. The present application has been moved u/s 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail for applicant/accused Ankit @ Golu who has been arrested on 25.03.2025 on allegations of committing offence punishable u/s 105/127(2)/308(2)/3(5) BNS.

2. Ld. Counsel for applicant has submitted that no similar application is pending before any other court.

3. Reply is filed and copy thereof supplied.

4. Brief facts of the case, as per reply filed by IO, are that the present case was registered on statement of complainant Bhaiya Lal who alleged in his statement that some persons captured his Auto and Auto driver Dilip and they were demanding Rs. 20,000/- to release him and calling him near P-1 Park, Sultanpuri. On that, SI Rahul alongwith complainant reached at the spot and found Dilip in injured condition. Accused Vipin, Dushyant, Bheem and Gurudev were apprehended at the spot and two persons escaped from the spot. Later on, their names revealed as Jatin and Golu. Injured Dilip died during treatment on 25.03.2025 and section 105 BNS has been added.

PM of the deceased was conducted. In the PM report, cause of death is given as “Death is due to cerebral damage and its sequelae. All injuries are ante mortem in nature, caused due to blunt force trauma and could be possible in a case of physical assault”. The FSL result regarding mobile phone and voice recording is pending.

5. During the course of arguments, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused argued on the lines of bail application and he has submitted that applicant is a 28 years old unmarried young man who is having clean antecedents. It is further stated that he is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that despite the prolonged incarceration of the applicant, the case is pending at the stage of evidence and the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future. It is further stated that PW-1 is already examined in chief and his cross examination was deferred for want of Forensic Science Laboratory (FSL) Report. It is further submitted that PW-2 Amit has been examined and he has not supported the prosecution on material facts. It is prayed that accused may be released on bail.

6. Ld. Addl. PP for the State opposed the bail application on the ground of gravity of offence. Ld. Addl. PP further submits that further examination of PW1 is pending and accused may influence the witness if he is released on bail at this stage.

7. Submissions heard. Record perused.

8. It is to be borne in mind that at the pre conviction stage, there is a presumption of innocence. The purpose of keeping a person in custody is to ensure his availability to face the trial and to receive the sentence that may be awarded to him. The detention is not supposed to be punitive or preventive.

9. Perusal of the record shows that material witness PW2 has not supported with the case of prosecution. PW1 Bhaiyalal, who has been partly examined in chief, also has not identified the accused during his examination in chief. There are total 32 prosecution witnesses. It is evident that trial shall take considerable time in its conclusion as FSL result qua voice sample of PW1 is yet to be received from FSL. Considering the overall facts and circumstances and considering the testimony of PW2, no purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind the bars. Accordingly, applicant/accused Ankit @ Golu is admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 35,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Accordingly, bail application is disposed off.

10. List the matter for date already fixed i.e. 03.09.2026.

(MUNEESH GARG)  
ASJ-03, N/W, ROHINI COURTS  
DELHI/24.07.2026