

32
CS DJ 463/17
TARUNESH BANSAL
Vs.
DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER N/W

26.05.2022

Present : Sh. Amit Kumar, Id counsel for plaintiff.
Proxy counsel for the defendant.

PW-1 Sh. Tarunesh Bansal tendered his affidavit for his evidence.

Counsel appearing for the defendant sought adjournment with the submission that the counsel who has to cross-examine the witness is not available as busy in another matter. Non-availability of the counsel as busy in another matter is not a ground for adjournment however considering that the right of cross-examination is a valuable right to put its case by the party so one opportunity is granted to the defendant for the cross-examination of plaintiff witness. A cost of Rs. 5,000/- is imposed on account of adjournment of the matter today upon the defendant to be paid to the plaintiff.

Put up for **15.11.2022** for PE.

(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)
ADJ-04, North West,
Rohini Courts, Delhi
26.05.2022