

**IN THE COURT OF MS. NISHA SAHAY SAXENA
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE
NORTH-WEST : ROHINI COURTS: DELHI**

In the matter of:-

(Sessions Case No. 430/2024)
CNR No. DLNW01-005264-2024
FIR No. 270/18
Police Station : Rani Bagh
Under Section : 308/34 IPC

State V/s Kashish Bhaskar

21.05.2026

ORDER ON SENTENCE

1. Accused Kashish Bhaskar has been convicted for the offence punishable u/s 323 IPC read with section 34 IPC, vide judgment dated 20.05.2026.

2. I have heard arguments on the point of sentence as advanced by Ms. Sonal Verma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State and Sh. Nishant Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for convict.

3. It has been submitted that convict Kashish Bhaskar is 31 years of age. He is not a previous convict. He is a permanent resident of Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh but at the time of incident in question, he was residing with his maternal uncle, where he is residing as on date as well and has furnished his address as "C-1/25, West Enclave, Rohini,

Pitampura, Delhi, Mobile No. 7999665451. He is a graduate (B.Sc) and is in the business of Dog Breeding, having an income of Rs. 10,000/- to Rs. 12,000/- per month. His father is working as a Diesel Engineer in a workshop, while his mother is a homemaker. He has two elder brothers, who are well settled in their respective families.

4. An application has been moved on behalf of the convict for releasing him on probation of good conduct, with the submissions that he has clean antecedents and this is the only criminal case registered against him. It is further submitted that the incident in question took place in the year 2018 and the convict has faced a prolonged trial for more than seven years, and during that period, he regularly appeared before the court, without any default. He has been facing trial in present case since long and has suffered socially, mentally as well as economically throughout this period of trial. It is prayed that the convict is first time offender, and deserves to be released on bail.

5. Ld. Prosecutor submitted that allegations against the convict are serious in nature and any leniency would send a wrong message to the society.

6. I have interacted with the convict, who has assured that he shall keep himself away from any antisocial activities. He is remorseful as well as worried and strained about the possible punishment for his act. Convict is motivated to lead his life with discipline and norms of

society. He reflected positive and optimistic approach, and there is scope of improvement in his behaviour as well as in way of his social life.

7. The convict has been convicted for the offence punishable u/s 323 IPC, which is punishable with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to one year, or with fine which may extend to one thousand rupees, or with both. Therefore, the convict falls within the purview of as per Section 3 / 4 of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958. The convict is neither a habitual offender, nor does he have any criminal antecedents. The injuries sustained by the injured were opined to be simple in nature. Convict has also assured the court that he shall indulge in any antisocial activities, nor would he ever do so in future.

8. Keeping in view all the facts and circumstances of the case, and the character of the offender, the court is of the opinion that ends of justice would be met by deferring the sentence, and releasing the convict on Probation of good conduct for a period of one year, on his furnishing a personal Probation bond in the sum of Rs. 20000/- with one surety in the like amount. During this period, the convict shall maintain peace in the neighbourhood and society and also conform to the standard of good behaviour and conduct. He shall also remain under the supervision of concerned Probation Officer, to whom he shall report as and when directed by the Probation Officer. The Probation Officer shall furnish a

quarterly report to this court henceforth regarding the behaviour and conduct of the convict. Apart from that a fine of Rs. 1,000/- is imposed upon him.

Copy of the Judgment and Order on Sentence be supplied to the convict free of cost.

9. Convict is informed of his right to prefer an appeal against the judgment and Order on Sentence and legal aid. He has been apprised that if he cannot afford to engage an Advocate, he can approach the Legal Aid Cell, Tihar Jail or write to Secretary, Delhi High Court, Legal Services Committee, 34-37, Lawyer Chamber Block, High Court of Delhi.

**Announced in the open court
today i.e. on 21.05.2026**

**(NISHA SAHAY SAXENA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (NW)
Rohini Courts, Delhi.**