

CA / 90/2026 HARSH VATS Vs. MEENU KUMARI  
VASHISHTA /0 (South Rohini)

**06.05.2026**

**Ld. Regular Addl. PP and One of the regular P.A is on leave today.**

Present : Ld. Counsel Ms. Vandana Anand for appellant.

This is an appeal u/s. 29 of PWDV Act against the Impugned Order dt. 23.03.2026 passed by Ld. JMFC, Mahila Court-02 granting interim maintenance in favour of the respondent.

Ld. Counsel prays for stay of the impugned order by submitting that the Ld. Trial Court has passed the Order in favour of the respondent as she is an Advocate.

Ld. Counsel for appellant has further submits that the Ld. Trial Court has considered the respondent as a house-wife despite the fact that she is a practicing Advocate.

Heard. The Ld. Trial Court has passed a detailed order after considering the submissions from both the sides.

At this stage, no strong or exceptional ground is raised to stay the impugned order, hence, the prayer for stay of impugned order is declined.

Let notice be issued to respondent on filing of PF/RC, returnable on **01.07.2026**.

**(MUNEESH GARG)**  
**ASJ-03 (NORTH-WEST)**  
**ROHINI COURTS**  
**DELHI/06.05.2026**