

Bail in Sessions Case No.389/2017
[CNR No.MHTH01-007539-2017]

Mr.Vijay Chandrakant Malgaonkar

.. Applicant

Vs

State of Maharashtra

(Through Vartaknagar Police Station)

.. Opponent

: ORDER BELOW EXH.03 :

01] The accused – Mr. Vijay Chandrakant Malgaonkar is chargesheeted for offence under S. 302 of IPC.

02] Ld. Advocate for accused submitted that the accused is not seen arriving at his home. The police has not collected call details of the accused. It is submitted tht no one has seen accused killing his wife. The case is totally based on circumstantial evidence. It is strongly contended that there is no 65-B certificate. It is strongly contended that the confessional statement is not admissible in evidence.

03] Ld. APP strongly opposed this application.

04] The accused informed to police that he had killed his wife Dolly Fransis Rodrix. The accused also informed to his mother Laxmi Chandrakant Malgaonkar that he has killed his wife Dolly and was proceeding to Vartaknagar Police Station. The witnesses have seen accused visiting his wife Dolly. It shows prima facie case against the

accused. Hence, I do not find it just to enlarge the accused on bail.

In the result, I pass following order :

: **ORDER** :

Application stands rejected.

Thane

Date : 14/02/2018

(V. V. Bambarde)

Additional Sessions Judge -1,
Thane.