

SC 351/2023
STATE
Vs.
RAJ KUMAR S/O FAKIRA
FIR No. 669/2020
PS: (Adarsh Nagar)

30.04.2024

Present: Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Pawan Kumar, A/R of the complainant company
alongwith Sh. Vaibhav Gupta, Manager (Legal) and Ms.
Mansha, Assistant Manager (Legal) of the
complainant/TPDDL.
Accused present in person alongwith Ld. LAC Ms. Usha
Rani.

At this stage, an application under Section 311 Cr.P.C.
seeking permission to summons the witness namely Sh. R.P. Singh and
Sh. Gaurav (photographer) has been moved on behalf of the Ld. Addl.
PP for the State assisted by Ld. Counsel for the complainant company.

Heard on the application as well as record perused.

It is stated in the application that IO has not made Sh. R.P.
Singh and Sh. Gaurav (photographer) as a witnesses in the instant matter.
It is further stated that examination of the said witnesses are required in
the interest of justice. Accordingly, it is requested that in the interest of
justice the present application may be allowed.

Per contra, the said application is not opposed by Ld. LAC
for the accused.

The present witnesses are necessary for the proper
adjudication of the present case and no prejudice would be caused to the
right of the accused if the said witnesses are allowed to be examined.

Accordingly, the instant application stands allowed and witness Sh. Gaurav, who is present in the Court today has been examined, cross examined and discharged as PW-1.

Let witness Sh. R.P. Singh and other witnesses be summoned for the NDOH.

Put up for PE on **11.07.2024**

(JITENDRA SINGH)
ASJ (Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi
30.04.2024