

**Bail Matter**  
**State Vs. Ravi Gupta**  
**FIR No. 105/2024**  
**U/s 21/25/29 NDPS Act**  
**PS Vijay Vihar**

**04.10.2024**

Present: Sh. Vineet Dahiya, Ld. Addl. PP for the State/applicant.  
Sh. Sagar Dawar, ld counsel for respondent.

1. *This is an application for cancellation of bail granted to accused Ravi Gupta, moved by Ld. Addl PP for State.*

2. Arguments already heard on last date of hearing.

3. Facts necessary for disposal of the application are that on 21.02.2024 one Shani Dewal was apprehended on suspicion and from his possession police recovered 3 gms of Cocaine. During investigation Shani Dewal disclosed that he had come to Delhi in 2019 and since then he was working as driver of wife of respondent. However, the respondent started him giving some other work also. The nature of work disclosed by Shani Dewal was that respondent used to call him in his office and used to give some white colour pouches to be delivered at various places in Gurugram, Noida and Delhi. So the accused Shani Dewal was the delivery boy of respondent. As per Shani Dewal the payment of delivered material used to be made through UPI or cash and the respondent used to operate the UPI accounts of Shani Dewal. On the date of his arrest also Shani Dewal was at the spot to deliver the recovered substance to some customer.

4. On this disclosure respondent was apprehended. Respondent told

the police that he used to buy cocaine from one Nigerian and at the instance of respondent, accused Chenedu Daniel was apprehended. Chenedu led the police to his room and from there he got recovered 06. gms Cocaine Their connectivity was verified and it was found during investigation that the sim card issued in the name of Sahni Dewal were used in the mobile of respondent. By the time chargesheet was filed investigation ,with respect to finance was going as the bank details were not verified. Meanwhile respondent was granted regular bail on 03.04.2024.

5. The further investigation with respect to finance appears to have unearthed a big nexus of drug cartel, therefore, the state has moved this application for cancellation of bail of accused Ravi not only for sustained interrogation but also to nab the others involved in this smuggling and distribution of cocaine.

6. The grounds taken in the application for cancellation of bail are that on 11.03.2024 IO received the bank account details of Shani Dewal. The UPI account of this Bank was registered with mobile no. 8591457678 and the CDR of this mobile number revealed that this number was used in the phone carried by and recovered from the respondent. It is stated in the /application that this account of Shani Dewal, which as per disclosure of Shani Dewal was used by respondent, has a credit of more than Rs 57 lacs within a period of 8 months and this money was received from different accounts. All the money from the account of Shani Dewal was either withdrawn in cash or transferred in the account of respondent and his family members. Therefore to seek clarification on these facts the respondent was served with notice to join

investigation. However, instead of joining the investigation, the respondent sent baseless replies and started casting allegations on the IO.

7. In reply to the application the respondent stated that IO is extorting money from the respondent and calling the respondent in odd hours without giving sufficient time to respond to notices and sometimes some absurd notices with patent errors were issued and because of that the respondent sent the replies to IO.

8. It is also stated in the reply that since the IO is having the mobile of respondent the IO is contacting all persons in his phone book and threatening them to implicate in false case and extorting money from them. It is further stated in the reply that the respondent is running business of tour and traveler and his contacts are being destroyed as the IO is calling all of them and threatening them.

9. A detailed report was also called from IO in which IO denied the allegations of the respondent as false. The IO has explained in his report that there is one Md. Arif @ Guddu who has been arrested in FIR no. 192/24 in a case of cocaine and in that case also there is one Nigerian woman namely Suzane, involved and both Arif and Suzane have connectivity with the respondent. It is reported by IO that that Arif of FIR no. 192/24 has deposited Rs. 23 lacs in the account of Shani Dewal which is operated by respondent. The FIR No. 192/24 is also of recovery of cocaine. In his report IO has filed a chart that apart from Arif some more persons have deposited a substantial amount in the bank account of Shani Dewal. IO has also filed chart showing that from that account around 17 lacs were withdrawn, and around 3.4 lacs were transferred in

the account of respondent and his family.

10. On the other hand in FIR No. 192/24 the investigation on financial aspect shows that Suzane got around Rs. 90 lacs in one year, Arif got Rs. 74 lacs within 6 months.

11. Cocaine is not produced in India. It is smuggled. That is why foreign nationals are key links with local distributors. The respondents connection with the accused chenedu in this case and Suzane of FIR no. 192/24 coupled with the financial transactions with common link Arif shows that the respondent is a part of larger syndicate of paddling cocaine and as the transactions reflects the there appear to be many more persons in this syndicate. The respondent has given no explanation to the findings of financial transactions in the account of Shani Dewal, UPI of which used to be operated from the phone seized from respondent.

12. The bail when granted to the respondent, the facts relating to finance involved had not come into light. It was the perseverance of IO which has connected the dots and brought a nexus into light. The respondent had chance to explain when he was called under section 67 NDPS. He had chance to explain in his reply to this application. In both occasions respondent has failed. Instead put allegations on IO. Financing drugs is a stand alone offence u/S 27A and the way money is changing hands a detailed investigation is must. Bail to the respondent was granted when it was merely a case of conspiracy of intermediate quantity. The crime appears to be bigger which requires custodial investigation as respondent has failed to cooperate.

13. Hence the application is allowed. The bail granted to respondent is canceled. IO is at liberty to arrest the respondent.
14. A copy of order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for respondent.

**VIKRAM  
ASJ-II/SPECIAL JUDGE NDPS/  
NORTH-WEST/ROHINI COURTS/  
DELHI/04.10.2024**