

IA-4
Bail SC 272-2024
FIR No. 105/2024
PS Vijay Vihar
State Vs. Ravi Gupta
u/s 21/25/61/85 NDPS Act

31.07.2025

Present: Sh. Vikas II, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Vivek Kumar Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for
applicant.

1. This is an application u/s 483 BNSS for granting regular bail, moved on behalf of applicant/ accused Ravi Gupta.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused on the ground that the applicant/ accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case by police and was arrested on 23.02.2024 on the disclosure statement of co accused Shani Dewal. Investigation qua applicant/ accused has already been completed and no contraband was recovered from the possession of applicant/ accused and there is no corroborative evidence to connect the applicant/ accused with the co accused except disclosure statement and the applicant/ accused was granted bail by this Court vide order dated 03.04.2024. Further that the application for cancellation of bail of applicant/ accused was moved by the Ld. Addl. PP for the State on dated 25.07.2024 and the Ld. Trial Court has allowed the bail cancellation application vide order dated 04.10.2024 and since then the applicant/ accused is in JC and the chargesheet has already been filed before the Court and on 03.02.2025 the supplementary chargesheet was also filed before the Ld. Trial Court with respect to allegation u/s 27A NDPS Act. On 18.10.2024 NBWs were issued against applicant/

accused for the absence and non surrender of applicant/ accused and further that there is no document or public witness by which prosecution can establish the fact that transaction in account of applicant/ accused Shani Dewol is related with contraband and entire case of the prosecution is on the basis of statements of co accused. Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused also relied on some judgments:

- (a) *Jalauddin Khan Vs. Union of India, Crl Appeal no. 3173 of 2024;*
- (b) *Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr., Misc., Application no. 1849 of 2021;*
- (c) *Nikesh Tarachand Shah Vs. Union of India;*
- (d) *Kalyan Chandra Sarkar Vs. Rajesh Yadav & Anr;*
- (e) *Sanjay Chandra Vs. CBI (2012)*

3. IO has also filed a detailed report vide which IO denied the allegations of the respondent as false. The IO has explained that there is one Md. Arif @ Guddu who has been arrested in a case of Cocaine and in that case also there is one Nigerian woman namely, Suzane, involved and both Arif and Suzane have connectivity with the respondent. It is reported by IO that Arif has deposited Rs. 23 lacs in the account of Shani Dewal which is operated by respondent. In his report IO has filed a chart that apart from Arif some more persons have deposited a substantial amount in the bank account of Shani Dewal. IO has also filed chart showing that from that account around 17 lacs were withdrawn and around 3.4 lacs were transferred in the account of respondent and his family.

4. Ld. Addl. PP for the State strongly opposed the present bail application.

5. Heard. Perused.

6. The applicant has moved bail application before the Hon'ble High Court recently and the same was dismissed as withdrawn on 16.07.2025. Perusal of the bail cancellation order dated 04.10.2024 passed by the Ld. Predecessor of this Court specifically in Para no. 9 to 11 mentioned the gravity of allegations against the present applicant and for those reasons, the bail was cancelled. Allegedly large transactions of amounts was received on behalf of applicant in the accounts of his other agents working under him. Considering the larger scenerio of conspiracy and syndicate related to Cocaine, the present bail application is dismissed.

7. With these observations, the present application is disposed of. Copy dasti.

(SHIVAJI ANAND)
ASJ-02/Spl. Judge (NDPS)
North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi/31.07.2025