

CS No. 335/2018
Corporation Bank V/s Madhu Sharma.

03.02.2020.

Present: Ms. Sarita Aggarwal, counsel for plaintiff with Sh. Murli Tummala, AR of the plaintiff.

None for the defendant.

Report regarding service of the defendant by way of publication received. Same is perused. It is reflected from perusal of the report that the defendant has been served by way of publication in Newspaper Rashtriya Sahara, edition dated 31.01.2020. However, none has appeared on his behalf despite repeated calls.

An application seeking substitution of AR is filed.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

A photocopy of power of attorney in favour of new AR is filed. Same is taken on record.

In view of submissions and considering the fact that the suit is at initial stage, application is allowed. New AR namely Sh. Murali Tummala is substituted in place of previous AR.

Be awaited for appearance on behalf of the defendant and be put up at 12.00 Noon.

(Sanjay Jindal)
ADJ-04(NW)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/03.02.2020

Contd...../-

At 12:15 PM.

Present: Ms. Sarita Aggarwal, counsel for plaintiff with Sh. Murli Tummala, AR of the plaintiff.

None for the defendant.

None has appeared on behalf of defendant despite being duly served by way of publication. Absence of defendant is considered to be deliberate on her part. Accordingly, in view of facts and circumstances, defendant is proceeded ex parte.

Considering the fact that the defendant has been proceeded ex parte, the following issues are framed for consideration of ex parte evidence:

1. Whether plaintiff is entitled for recovery alongwith interest as prayed for. OPP?
2. Any other relief.

No other issue arises and pressed for.

Be put up for ex-parte evidence on 01.05.2020.

(Sanjay Jindal)
ADJ-04(NW)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/03.02.2020