

FIR No.14/17
State Vs. Krishan & Ors.
PS: Aman Vihar

Dated: 29.01.2019

Present: Sh. Rajat Kalra, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

All accused are present on bail.

Sh. Pradeep Vijayran, Ld. Counsel for all the accused except Vinod, Drigpal Singh, Chanchal, Amit, Kusum and Shakuntala.

Sh. Rajandra Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the accused Drigpal Singh.

Sh. R.N. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the accused Amit.

Sh. Vibhas Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the accused Vinod.

Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Vishnu Kant, Ld. Counsel for the accused Chanchal, Shakuntala and Kusum.

Sh. Ramnath Malhan and Sh. Diwan Singh, Ld. Counsels for the complainant.

PW1 ASI Ravinder, PW2 Inspector Gyatri Daspa, PW3 Ct. Sunil and PW4 ASI Nem Singh are examined, cross-examined and discharged.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for the complainants that complainant Sh. Rajesh Kumar had also come to court in the morning but he was sent back to bring the original documents. After talking to the complainant on phone, it is informed by the Ld. Counsel for the complainant that he is on the way to Faridabad.

When the complainant had come to the court in the morning, he could not have left without the permission of the court. Ld. Counsel for the complainant states that inadvertently he had asked the witness to go to bring the documents and he regrets about the same.

In view of the above, there is no other alternative except to adjourn this case for remaining PE.

Put up for PE on 16.03.2019.

Witnesses cited at serial no. 1, 4, 5, 8 & 10 be summoned alongwith MHC (M) with case property for the said date.

(Deepak Garg)
ASJ-II, North West
Rohini:Delhi
29.01.2019

At this stage, Ld. Counsels for the accused persons have informed that they saw the complainant present outside the court, when they went outside after the case was adjourned. Let this issue be seen on the next date of hearing.

(Deepak Garg)
ASJ-II, North West
Rohini:Delhi
29.01.2019