

FIR No. 679/16
PS Sultan Puri
State Vs. Vishal @ Shashi & ors.

17.04.2018

Present: Sh. A.B. Asthana, Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.
Accused no. 1 on bail, present.
Accused no. 2 produced from JC (on bail in this case).
Accused no. 3 on bail, not present.

Perusal of the report regarding proceedings u/s 82 Cr.PC reveals that the notice has not executed as per law. It is also revealed that the executing police official is not aware about the difference between the ordinary summons and notice issued u/s 82 Cr.PC. In view thereof, let the proceedings u/s 82 Cr.PC be initiated afresh against the accused no. 3 to be executed through concerned SHO. In addition to other modes, let the notice of the proclamation be also issued against the accused no. 3 through publication in newspaper namely "Rashtriya Sahara" Hindi edition circulated in the local area where the accused no. 3 used to reside.

Concerned IO is directed to take the effective steps immediately to this effect.

Notice sent to the Commissioner, North Delhi, MCD stands served, however, amount not deposited as directed. Accordingly, Commissioner, North Delhi, MCD is again directed to attach the salary of surety Vicky for a sum of Rs. 20,000/- and to send the said amount in the court within two weeks from the date of the receipt of copy of the order. Notice to this effect be issued to the Commissioner, North Delhi MCD for information and compliance.

Put up for further proceedings on **31.05.2018**.

(Pankaj Gupta)
ASJ(FTC): N-W: Rohini:
17.04.2018