

**19 Bail Matters 448/2026**  
**SUSHILA DEVI Vs. STATE**  
**FIR No.190/2023**  
**PS PREM NAGAR**  
**u/s 420/406/34 IPC**

**14.03.2026**

Present: Sh. Gyanendra Mishra, Ld. Addl.PP for State.  
Sh. Sumit Garg, Ld. Counsel for  
accused/applicant.  
IO Inspector S.P. Sanwariya in person.

1. This is the application u/s 483 BNSS moved on behalf of accused/applicant **Sushila Devi** w/o Manoj Yadav for grant of regular bail. It is submitted that this is the first regular bail application in the Sessions court.
2. Reply filed. Copy supplied.
3. Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. It is submitted that the applicant not created any false chain of property documents and it is a money dispute only. It is also submitted that the applicant herself surrendered before the court of Ld. JMFC after the dismissal of her anticipatory bail application. It is submitted that applicant shall abide all the conditions, if she be granted bail.
4. Ld. Addl. PP for the state opposes the bail application by submitting that the applicant and her family members prepared numerous chain of documents for the same property to defraud several persons. It is submitted that the investigation is pending and the accused/applicant should not be granted bail.
5. I have heard rival submissions and carefully perused the record.
6. As per the report of the IO, the present case was registered

on the directions of Ld. Magistrate u/s 156(3) Cr.P.C. The complainant alleged that on 09.09.2019, he purchased property bearing plot No. F-126, Khasra No.1300, Prem Nagar measuring 50 sq. yards against consideration of Rs.5 lakhs from accused/applicant Sushila Devi. The family members of Sushila Devi were also the part of the transaction.

7. As per the submissions of the IO, original chain of title documents from inception were handed over to the complainant. It was revealed that the accused persons in connivance with each other already availed a loan against the property in question by depositing the original title deeds on 24.08.2017. As per the submissions of the IO, the original chain of title documents are still with the Shiva Co-operative Society.

8. Thus, there are two chain of title documents, one with the finance society and other with the complainant. It is submitted by the IO that the relevant penal offences for the offence of forgery are also under consideration.

9. As per the report of the IO, the property in question was again sold to Vijay Singh Sisodia who is also having the original chain of documents(3rd chain) against which the FIR No.191/23 PS Prem Nagar has been registered.

10. In view of the report of IO, the property was further transferred by accused Manoj Yadav to one Vijay Kumar on 03.02.2023 by preparing another chain of forged title documents(4th chain).

11. The *modus-operandi* adopted in preparing the series of forged alleged title documents reveals that the offence was committed with deep planning.

12. Considering the submission that the investigation is pending and there are series of alleged forged documents qua the

same property prepared by applicant and her family members, this court is of the opinion that no ground for bail is made at this stage. Accordingly, the present application is hereby dismissed.

13. It is clarified that nothing mentioned hereinabove shall tantamount to expression of opinion on merits of this case.

14. Copy of this order be given to Ld. Counsel for the accused. Copy be also sent to accused through Superintendent of jail concerned as well as IO/SHO concerned for information through Bail Section.

**(KAPIL KUMAR)**  
**ASJ (Spl. FTC) : North-West**  
**Rohini Courts / Delhi /14.03.2026**