

08.06.2023

Present : Sh. Sumit Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Hemant Kakkar , Ld. Proxy Counsel for the defendant no. 1.
Sh. Deepak Khatri, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 2 through VC.
Sh. Sahil Malik, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the defendant no. 2 in person.
Sh. Sunil Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 4.
Sh. Bhishm Singh, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 5 along with Sh. Deepak Kumar, Senior Legal Executive.

WS already filed on behalf of defendant no. 3 on 03.05.2023. It is stated that the copy is not supplied to the plaintiff. D-3 is directed to supply the same within ten days to the plaintiff.

The plaintiff has filed the replication to the WS of defendant no. 1 and 2 along with reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 10 CPC filed by the defendant no. 2 on the last date. Copy supplied to Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 1 & 2.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 2 submits that he shall file his vakalatnama by NDOH.

No reply is filed by the plaintiff to the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC filed by the D-5 on LDOH. It is submitted that the Ld. Main counsel shall directly argue on the

Contd/-

application on the next date. In case any reply is to be filed, advance copy of the same be supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the D-5.

Now to come up for arguments on the application under Order 7 Rule 10 CPC & under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for **02.11.2023.**

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has pointed out that the name of the case is wrongly mentioned as Vinod Garg Vs. Geeva Devi. Ahlmad is directed to correct the same as per the memo of parties.

(RUCHIKA SINGLA)
ADJ-03 (N/W)
Rohini Courts :Delhi/08.06.2023

At 12:20 pm

File taken up on request.

Present: Sh. Sumit Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the plaintiff.
Ms. Seema Gupta, ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 3.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant has supplied the copy of the WS to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Put up for date fixed for purpose fixed.

(RUCHIKA SINGLA)
ADJ-03 (N/W)
Rohini Courts :Delhi/08.06.2023