

SC 164-2019
STATE Vs. SUNIL KUMAR @ BALI
FIR No. 169 /2015
(Vijay Vihar)

16.11.2023

Present: Sh. K.D. Pachauri, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Accused Sandeep @ Papad and Sandeep @ Chhagal
in person.
Accused Sunil Kumar @ Balli, Raj Kumar Gupta
and Anil @ Anda are absent.

Surety of accused Sandeep @ Chhagal is also
present as on last date of hearing for absence of accused Sandeep
@ Chhagal warrants were issued against him. At oral request,
warrants are cancelled. He is warned to remain careful in future.

Warrants issued against accused Sunil Kumar @
Balli received back unexecuted with report that he is in JC in
Sabarmati Jail, Ahamdabad in case FIR No. 8071/2023.
Therefore, issue production warrants of accused Sunil Kumar @
Balli to Jail Superintendent concerned for the next date of
hearing. It be mentioned on production warrants that accused
Sunil Kumar @ Balli is on bail in this case and he need not to be
retained in custody only for the purpose of production in this
case.

As against accused Anil @ Anda, it is submitted by
accused persons that accused Anil @ Anda is in JC in some other
case and presently lodged at Rohini Jail. Therefore, issue
production warrants of accused Anil @ Anda to Jail
Superintendent concerned for the next date of hearing.

There is no information against accused Raj Kumar

Gupta. Therefore, issue non bailable warrants against accused Raj Kumar Gupta alongwith notice under Section 446 Cr.P.C. to his surety for the next date of hearing.

List on 18.12.2023.

**VIKRAM
ASJ-II/SPECIAL JUDGE NDPS/
NORTH-WEST/ROHINI COURTS/
DELHI/16.11.2023**