

**IN THE COURT OF MS. NISHA SAHAY SAXENA  
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE :  
NORTH-WEST : ROHINI COURTS : DELHI.**

CNR No. DLNW01-001767-2026  
SC No. 104/26  
State Vs. Amit  
FIR No. 653/2025  
PS : Raj Park  
U/s 108/85 BNS

**(Order on bail application of accused Amit)**

23.05.2026

This is the second application u/s 483 BNSS moved on behalf of the applicant / accused Amit for grant of bail. Reply to the said application has already been filed by IO SI Ram Dayal.

Arguments have been addressed by Sh. P.K. Samadhiya, Ld. Prosecutor for the State and Sh. Om Prakash Mehra, Ld. counsel for applicant / accused.

The case of the prosecution is to the effect that the applicant / accused Amit subjected Rupa to dowry demands and abetted her to commit suicide.

Bail has been sought on behalf of applicant / accused on the ground that the applicant / accused is in JC since 24.11.2025 and that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Investigation has already been completed and custodial interrogation of applicant / accused is not required. It is further submitted that no marriage was performed between the applicant / accused and deceased Rupa. It is submitted that the applicant / accused has clean antecedents and deserves to be enlarged on bail.

On the contrary, Ld. Prosecutor has opposed the bail application submitting that deceased Rupa was found dead, under mysterious circumstances. Mother of the deceased, in her statement to the police, has alleged that the applicant / accused Amit and Rupa had entered into a love marriage and they have a daughter, but the applicant / accused used to beat Rupa on account of dowry demands. Ld. Prosecutor further submitted that the allegations against the applicant / accused are serious in nature and the charge is yet to be framed in the present matter. It is submitted that there is every likelihood of the applicant / accused tampering with the prosecution witnesses.

In the case in hand, it is not disputed that the accused is the biological father of the girl child, but it is claimed that the applicant / accused never married Rupa and they were in 'live-in relationship'.

While addressing arguments, Ld. Addl. Public Prosecutor submitted that the charge-sheet should have been filed under section 304 B (80 BNS).

Seeing the gravity of the offence allegedly committed by the applicant / accused and the admitted relationship between the applicant / accused and deceased Rupa, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant / accused Amit. Accordingly, the present bail application is dismissed.

Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for being conveyed to the applicant / accused. As prayed, a copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. counsel for applicant / accused.

Now to come up on the date already fixed i.e.  
01.06.2026 for arguments on charge.

**(Nisha Sahay Saxena)**  
Principal District & Sessions Judge (NW)  
Rohini Courts, Delhi (k)