

10.10.2025

Present: Sh. Abhimanyu Kumar, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
None for defendants.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that possession has not been handed over to the plaintiff by defendant no. 2 and plaintiff wants to pursue her case further.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that an application under Order 12 Rule 6 CPC filed on behalf of plaintiff is not pressed for disposal on the merits at this stage.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that pleadings are complete.

Submissions heard on the settlement of issues.

Issues as arising from the submissions and pleadings of the party are framed as under:-

- (1) Whether the possession of defendant no. 1 in the suit premises is as a tenant of plaintiff? (OPP)
- (2) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of possession of the suit property? (OPP)
- (3) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for mandatory injunction as claimed? (OPP)
- (4) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for permanent injunction as claimed? (OPP)
- (5) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for Mesne profits if so at what rate and for which period? (OPP)
- (6) Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed for. The counsel

appearing for the plaintiff stated that there is no likelihood of the settlement of matter between the parties at this stage, as such, there is no use of referring the parties to adopt ADR means. List of witnesses be filed within 15 days by the parties.

Put up for plaintiff evidence on 05.01.2026.

(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)
DJ-04/North-West
Rohini Courts/Delhi
10.10.2025