

Ct. Cases / 19/2025

TATA Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. Vs. RANDHIR

20/09/2025

Present : Sh. Satish Kumar, AR of the complainant company / TPDDL along with Ms. Mansha, Assistant Manager(Legal) for the complainant company.

Accused is yet to be summoned.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

CW-1 Sh. Satish Kumar and CW-2 Sh. R.K. Malik have been examined by the complainant in pre-summoning evidence in support of the complaint filed under section 135 r/w section 151 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

CW-1 Sh. Satish Kumar is the Authorized Representative authorized by the complainant company/TPDDL, who has relied upon the complaint and the documents Ex.CW1/1 to Ex.CW1/3.

CW-2 Shri R.K. Malik is working as Sr. Manager with the complainant company and was one of the raiding team members who had inspected the premises in question. CW-2 has relied upon the documents exhibit Ex.CW2/1 to Ex.CW2/6.

At the time of joint inspection by the raiding team of TPDDL, accused was found indulged in theft of electricity by direct hooking from TPDDL LT Network at Pole No.514-73/36/6/6/5 by one nos. black core PVC two core aluminum wire which was further connected to the user's internal wiring to run the entire connected load. The accessible part of the illegal wires were removed and seized from site. No meter/connection was found at the site. The accused Randhir s/o

Contd.....

- : 2 :-

Sh. Ruliya Ram was found to be committing the direct theft of electricity and thereby he had caused wrongful loss to complainant and wrongful gain to himself.

Thus, there are sufficient grounds to proceed against the accused Randhir s/o Sh. Ruliya Ram for the offence punishable U/s 135 of the Electricity Act 2003. Accordingly, ***the accused Randhir s/o Sh. Ruliya Ram be summoned for 13.11.2025 on filing of PF through complainant company.***

(PRASHANT KUMAR)
ASJ (Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/ 20/09/2025