

10
CS DJ
108/22
ASHOK GUPTA
Vs.
SHIV KUMAR

29.07.2024

Present : Sh. Vikasdeep, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
None for the defendant.

Summons as issued to the defendant received back with report that there is no such person residing at the said address and that one Sh. Sanjeev Kumar was found to be residing there who stated that he was the owner of the property.

Ld. Counsel submits that as per his information the defendant is still residing at the same address. Hence, the dasti summons may be issued afresh. Perusal of record shows that on the request of the plaintiff, dasti summons have been issued twice and always the same report has been filed on record. Ld. Counsel submits that due to some miscommunication the plaintiff was unable to go with the process server.

Let a fresh affidavit of address verification be filed on record.

Put up for further proceedings on **02.09.2024**.

(RUCHIKA SINGLA)
DJ-03 (N/W)
Rohini Courts :Delhi/29.07.2024