

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI M.R SETHI, ADDITIONAL SESSIONS
JUDGE-03, NORTH-WEST, DELHI.**

Bail No. 67/2018

State Vs. Vinod

FIR No.267/17

U/s 384/389/411/419/342/506/170/120B/34 IPC

PS South Rohini

8.1.2018

Present: Sh. Ram Pyara Ld. Addl. PP for State with SI Neeraj.
Shri Sat Narain Id. Counsel for applicant.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel that vide order dated 29.12.17 passed by Id. Court of Shri Satish Kumar (Vacation Judge) applicant was admitted to bail in this case. It is submitted that at that stage bail application was filed mentioning offences U/s 384/491/342/506/120B/34 IPC and the aforesaid sections found mentioned in the bail order dated 29.12.17. It was claimed that however after registration of FIR the investigating agency had added offences U/s 389/411/170 IPC and as such the said offences came to be mentioned on his custody warrant. It was claimed that on furnishing bail bond, when release warrant was sent, objection was raised by jail authorities and the applicant could not be released. Id. Counsel submits that a fresh bail order be passed mentioning all the offences of the FIR.

Ld. PP submits that court may pass any order as deem fit.

Contd

Keeping in view facts and circumstances of the case, it is hereby directed that in addition to offences mentioned in order dated 29.12.17 in respect of bail application No. 6330/17 in respect of accused/applicant Vinod, offences punishable U/s 389/411/170 IPC be also deemed to have been incorporated in the order.

Consequently, applicant be now released on bail subject to his furnishing a fresh bail bond in sum of Rs.40,000/- with one surety of like amount to satisfaction of Ld MM/Link MM/Duty MM. Copy of order be sent to Superintendent, Jail.

(M.R.SETHI)
ADDL.SESIONS JUDGE – 03,
NORTH WEST, ROHINI COURTS,
NEW DELHI.