

1/4

1 LAC 2017A/2012 434/16

LAKHI RAM (202005-06) Vs. UNION OF INDIA

(MOHD. PUR MAJRI)

22.04.2026

Present: Sh. Sanjay Kaushik, One of LR of petitioner.

Sh. Pawan Kumar, Ld. counsel for UOI (through VC).

Sh. S. S. Mittal, Ld. counsel for DDA (through VC).

This is reference u/s 18 LA Act filed on behalf of petitioner Lakhi Ram in item no.325 with respect to 2/6th share of Kh. no.40//10/1 measuring 2-06 biswa Village Mohd. Pur Majri acquired by award no.20/05-06 dated 28.11.2025.

After receipt of reference on 18.12.2012, notice was issued to petitioner but for quite a long time, none appeared for petitioner. When Sh. Deepak Verma ld. counsel appeared on 26.05.2014 he informed that petitioner has expired a long back, therefore, an application u/s 5 Limitation Act alongwith application u/o 22 Rule 3 CPC was filed to implead the LRs of petitioner. The LAC filed the LRs verification report on 29.09.2014. On 25.07.2018, as it was found that there were 21 LRs of petitioner and the application is filed on behalf of one Jaswant, notices were issued to remaining LRs. The application on behalf of Jaswant was filed showing that there is only one LR on the ground that Sh. Lakhi Ram was unmarried and issueless.

Contd...../-

Apparently there was mistake in application as brothers and sisters of Lakhi Ram were not impleaded despite the fact that LR's verification report is received. Subsequently, on 07.08.2019 Jaswant Singh was filed another application detailing the list of 21 LR's under succession of Lakhi Ram.

As per the LR's verification report and the application as well as family tree filed on record, Lakhi Ram, Roop Chand, Ram Kishan, Smt. Bhagyani and Smt. Kishan Dai were children of Maan Singh. Lakhi Ram was unmarried, therefore, there was no first class legal heir. The second class legal heir i.e. brothers and sisters of Lakhi Ram are Roop Chand, Bhagyani, Ram Kishan and Kishan Dai. As against **Ram Kishan** report has been received from Tehsildar that Ram Kishan was also bachelor and he turned to a saint and was living at Chapteshwar Temple, Kharkhoda and has now expired.

Out of remaining LR's, LR's of **Smt. Bhagyani** have already given their statement relinquishing their shares in favour of one of LR of Roop Chand i.e. Jaswant.

As against **Kishan Dai**, report from SDM Narela has already been received that Smt. Kishan Dai has expired on 08.03.1999 living behind no LR's.

The Jaswant Singh is one of LR of Roop Chand. Roop Chand was survived by 5 LR's namely Smt. Ishwar, Om Prakash, Shyam Singh, Kanwar Singh and Sh. Jaswant Singh. Out of these LR's, all except Jaswant have already expired.

Contd...../-

Shyam Singh has already given his statement relinquishing his share in favour of Jaswant, alongwith affidavit, on 01.05.2019.

On the same date, Smt. Raj Bala W/o **Kanwar Singh**, Ravinder Sharma S/o Kanwar Singh, Kavinder Sharma S/o Kanwar Singh gave their statement reqlinquising their share in favour of Jaswant Singh. On 20.11.2023, Poonam Kumari D/o Sh. Kanwar Singh also gave her statement. Similary, 16.03.2026, Vinita D/o Kanwar Singh through her SPA Sh. Ravinder relinquished her share in favour of Jaswant Singh.

Ishwar Singh had already expired before the land was acquired.

As against **Om Prakash**, one of his LR Sh. Bijender Singh who is eldest son has given his statement relinquishing his share in favour of Jaswant however, remaining LRs have not turned despite service of notice.

In view of facts recorded above, the application u/o 22 Rule 3 CPC is now disposed off by impleading Jaswant Singh and the LRs of Om Prakash (who have not relinquished their share) as the only LRs having claim or the enhancement. Applicant Jaswant Singh is therefore directed to file amended memo of parties on NDOH.

Record shows that against the claim, the DDA and UOI have already filed their reply contesting the claim. Therefore, from the pleadings between claim of petitioner and WS of respondents, following issues are framed as follows:

Contd...../-

4/4

1. Whether the petitioners are entitled to the enhancement in compensation, if so, to what extent? OPP
2. Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed upon.

List for PE on 20.05.2026.

(Vikram)
DJ-1+ MACT, N/W, Rohini Courts,
22.04.2026