

12 Ct. Cases 1450/2025
CNR No.DLWT020309072024
TRUCAP FINANCE LIMITED Vs. NIKHIL RAVIKUMAR SANGHE

28.07.2026

The undersigned is also working as Ist Link of Ld. JMFC-03, West today.

Present : Sh. Shio Shankar Kumar, Ld. counsel for the complainant
through VC.

Ld. Counsel for the complainant has brought attention of this court on cheque in question which has been drawn on personal account of Sh. Nikhil Ravi Kumar Sanghe.

Record reflects that legal demand notice has returned back to the sender with the report incomplete address. However, it has been mentioned in evidence affidavit that same was sent via speed post on correct address of the accused. Accordingly, service can be presumed in terms of Section 27 General Clauses Act.

As per the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in judgment CR. Appeal no.1755 of 2010 dt. 25.09.2025 titled as "Sanjabij Tari Vs. Kishore S Borcar and Anr.", the requirement of issuing pre-cognizance notice in terms of 223 BNSS has been dispensed with.

Perusal of the complaint, evidence by way of affidavit (which is exhibited at this stage as Ex.CW-1/X for the purpose of identification) the documents relied upon by the complainant, shows that they are duly verified and in order. Prima facie, the offence under Section 138 NI Act seems to be committed and I hereby take the cognizance of the same.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported as A.C. Narayanan vs. State of Maharashtra (2014) 11 SCC 790 has held as under,

"29. From a conjoint reading of Sections 138, 142 and 145 of the N.I. Act as well as Section 200 of the Code, it is clear that it is open to the Magistrate to issue process on the basis of the contents of the complaint, documents in support thereof and the affidavit submitted by the complainant in support of the complaint....

GAURAV UJJWAL
Digitally signed by
GAURAV UJJWAL
Date: 2026.07.28
14:46:41 +0530

...Cont 2/-

...33.4 In the light of Section 145 of the NI Act, it is open to the Magistrate to rely upon the verification in the form of affidavit filed by the complainant in support of the complaint under Section 138 of the NI Act and the Magistrate is neither mandatorily obliged to call upon the complainant to remain present before the Court, nor to examine the complainant or his witness upon oath for taking the decision whether or not to issue process on the complaint under Section 138 of the NI Act.”

Similar directions have been issued in *Indian Bank Association & Ors. vs. Union of India* (2014) 5 SCC 590 and *Rajesh Agarwal vs. State* (2010) 171 DLT 51. In view of the aforesaid judgment, the examination of the complainant is dispensed with.

Similar directions have been issued in *Indian Bank Association & Ors. vs. Union of India* (2014) 5 SCC 590 and *Rajesh Agarwal vs. State* (2010) 171 DLT 51. In view of the aforesaid judgment, the examination of the complainant is dispensed with. On consideration of the complaint, annexed documents and the verification affidavit of the complainant, this Court is of the opinion that prima facie there are sufficient grounds for proceeding against the accused mentioned below for the commission of offence under Section 138, Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

Let the accused Sh. Nikhil Ravi Kumar Sanghe, be summoned on filing of PF/RC/Speed post including WhatsApp and email within 30 days from today. As per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India summons be also given dasti to the complainant. In case of non availability/premises found locked/refusal, summons be served by way of affixation.

Complainant is directed to file PF and affidavit (stating that the e-mail ID and mobile number of the accused provided is correct) along with copy of complaint and set of documents, within 30 working days from today. **Ahlmad is directed to issue summons within 03 working days from the date on which PF is filed.** As per the guideline laid down in the case titled *Damodar S. Prabhu vs. Sayyed Baba Lal H.* reported in (2010) 5 SCC 663, Ahlmad is directed to make a mention on the summons issued that:

...Cont 3/-

**GAURAV
UJJWAL**

Digitally signed by
GAURAV UJJWAL
Date: 2026.07.28
14:46:46 +0530

“Accused can make an application for compounding of the offence at the first and second hearing of the case and if such an application is made compounding may be allowed by the court without imposing any cost on the accused”.

Put up for appearance of accused on **19.01.2027**.

GAURAV
UJJWAL

Digitally
signed by
GAURAV
UJJWAL
Date:
2026.07.28
14:46:50
+0530

(GAURAV UJJWAL)
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, FIRST CLASS,
(NI ACT-01) WEST, THC, DELHI/28.07.2026