



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI.

Present: Tmt.V.R.Latha, M.A., B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri

Wednesday, the 12th day of August 2026

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.2152/ 2026

[CNR NO:TNKI01-003688-2026]

1.Vadivelan

...Petitioner/Accused

/ Versus /

State: The Inspector of police,

Maharajakadai P.S,Cr.No:84/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition has come up today before this court in the presence of Tr.R.Narayanan, counsel for the petitioner and the respondent police, after perusing the petition averments, upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounces the following:

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused U/S. 483 of BNSS Act, seeking bail. Petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.07.2026 for the alleged offence U/S. 77, 79, 318(4) of BNS Act and Sec 67(A) of IT Act.

2] The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed the alleged offence. It is further submitted that there was a money dispute inbetween the defacto complainant and A1 due to that she gave a false complaint against the petitioner with baseless allegation and the



respondent police have falsely implicated the petitioner in this case. The petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 15 days. It is further contended that the alleged occurrence took place on 05.03.2025, whereas the complaint was lodged only on 05.03.2026, after a delay of one year, which creates serious doubt regarding the allegations. The petitioner is a law abiding citizen and he has only breadwinner of his family and he is ready to abide the condition if any to be imposed by this court. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioner prayed to release the petitioner on bail.

3] On the other hand, the respondent police appeared before this Court and submitted that the petitioner along with other accused, had taken a video of the defacto complainant while she was bathing and threatened her that she would upload the said video on social media. By making such threat, the petitioner allegedly obtained 18 sovereigns of gold jewellery and a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- in cash from the defacto complainant and committed the alleged cheating. In this case investigation is at an initial stage. Accordingly the respondent police prayed to dismiss the bail petition.

4] It is seen from the records that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. The petitioner is alleged to have taken a video of the defacto complainant while she was bathing and threatened her that he would upload the same on social media, and by such threat, he allegedly obtained 18 sovereigns of gold jewellery and a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- from her. Though the learned counsel for the



petitioner contended that there was a money dispute between the defacto complainant and A1 and that the complaint was lodged after a delay of one year, the said contentions are matters to be investigated during the course of investigation. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the huge amount of gold and cash allegedly obtained from the defacto complainant, and the fact that the investigation is at the initial stage, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and the petition deserves dismissal.

In fine this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this, the 12th day of August 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Krishnagiri.

Copy To: 1) The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Krishnagiri.
2) The Inspector of police, Maharajakadai P.S.
3) Tr.R.Narayanan, Advocate, Krishnagiri.