

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge VII Danapur.

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2292/2023
(Arising out of Ranitalab P.S. Case No.49/2023)**

1. Ajay Yadav;
2. Bhim Yadav;
3. Lalu Yadav;

All three sons of late Mahendra Yadav

4. Rakesh Kumar Yadav, S/o Yugeshwar Yadav;
5. Ramanuj Yadav, S/o Sudheshwar Yadav.

All R/o village Patut, P.S. Ranitalab, Dist. Patnapetitioners.

V r s.

The State of Bihar.....

..... Opp. Party.

For the petitioners : Shri. Anjay Kumar Gupta (Advocate)
For the State : Shri. Ramkeshwar Prasad (Addl.PP.)
For the Informant : Shri. Sunil Kumar Sharma (Advocate)

Order

27-04-2023.

The above named petitioners have got filed the anticipatory bail petition as they are apprehending their arrest in connection with the present Ranitalab P.S. Case No.49/2023, dt.17-02-2023, registered U/Ss.341, 323, 307, 354 (A), 354(B), 447, 448, 504 and 506/34 I.P.C. and U/S.27 of Arms Act.

Allegation against petitioner Ajay Yadav is that he struck informant with iron-rod on his head. Allegation against all the petitioners, in brief, is that they armed with lathi and iron-rod forcibly entered into the house of informant's uncle and it is specifically alleged that Ajay Yadav, Bhim Yadav and Lalu Yadav seized informant's aunt and were trying to drag her outside the house with bad intention. In course of her resistance, she became semi-naked

Further allegation is that the petitioners also fired bullets at both informant's doorway and doorway of his uncle who escaped unhurt.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that besides instant case they are also accused in Ranitalab P.S. Case No.250/2022.

Cont'd..2

Cont'd..21

27-04-2023.

It is further submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated. Moreover, both parties are gotiyas and now they have entered into compromise and a joint compromise petition has been filed in the instant anticipatory bail petition. Hence, they may be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Ld. Addl. PP. for the State assisted by Sri. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Ld. Advocate for informant and informant himself has supported the factum of compromise.

Perused the certified copy of F.I.R. and other documents available on the case record including the joint compromise petition filed on behalf of the parties.

Considering the nature of allegation, compromise between the parties that is also supported by the informant, this court is inclined to grant petitioners anticipatory bail. Hence, they are directed to be released, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within a fortnight from the date of communication of this order thereto, on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount subject to the condition as mentioned in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Dictated)

Addl. Sess. Judge 7th, Danapur.

27-04-2023.