

Ct. Cases 16/2018
TATA Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. Vs. PURAN
PS Mangol Puri

30/10/2025

Present : Sh. Satish Kumar, A R of the complainant company /
TPDDL along with Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Manager (Legal)
for the complainant company.
Sh. B.P. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the accused along with
accused.

An oral request has been made by the Ld. Counsel for complainant company seeking substitution of Sh. Satish Sharma as new AR of the complainant company in place of the earlier AR Sh. B.B. Gogia who has left his services from the complainant company. The copy of P.O.A. in favour of Sh. Satish Sharma has been filed. Same be taken on record.

The above mentioned request has not been opposed by the Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Heard. In view of the submission made, request of the complainant company is allowed and Sh. Satish Sharma is substituted as new AR of the complainant company.

PW-3 Sh. Satish Sharma examined, cross-examined and discharged.

Perusal of the record reflects that all the material witnesses have been examined in the instant matter. Accordingly, *PE stands closed*.

Put up for recording of Statement of Accused on 22.11.2025.

(PRASHANT KUMAR)
ASJ (Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/30/10/2025