

11 MISC DJ 5/25 & 14 MISC DJ 88/26 LALITA SHARMA Vs.
VINAY KUMAR SHARMA

01.04.2026.

Present: None for plaintiff.
 Mr. Nitin Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the defendant No. 2.
 Defendant No. 2 in person.
 None for defendant No. 1.
 Mr. Rahul Nagpal, Ld. Counsel for applicant Alka Rani
 alongwith applicant Alka Rani.

Affidavit in terms of last order has been filed. Report of ordinary process is received but no photographs is attached with the report. Accordingly, affixation is not accepted. **Issue fresh notice of the application to the plaintiff Lalita Sharma in terms of last order.**

So far as the application filed on behalf of defendant No. 2 i.e. Rakesh Sharma is concerned, he is seeking only release of his share. Record shows that Rakesh Sharma was one of the defendants and was entitled for 1/5th share of the suit property. Vide order dated 30.09.2024, Ld. Predecessor of this Court has clarified that his share after deducting expenses for re-validation the demand draft, stamp duty amount, fees of Ld. Court Auctioneer and Government fees, etc, for the sum of Rs. 14,19,540/- is to be released. Demand Draft in the name of Rakesh Sharma for this sum is already lying on record. Ld. Counsel for Rakesh Sharma does not dispute that it needs to be re-validated. Accordingly, **issue Court notice to Ld. Court auctioneer to get the banker cheque/demand draft placed on record for Rakesh Sharma re-validated and to file the same after revalidation by the next date.**

Now, put up for further proceedings for 13.05.2026.

(Aanchal)
District Judge-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
01.04.2026(amt)