

SC No.767/2024
STATE Vs. SACHIN AND ORS.
FIR No. 610/2024
PS Shabad Dairy
U/s. 309(6)/311/317(2)/61(2)/3(5) BNS & U/s. 25/27 Arms Act

15.10.2025

(File is taken up today on an application U/s. 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused Sunil)

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl PP for State.
Sh. J.K. Kalson, Ld. Deputy chief Legal Aid
Counsel Sunil.

Arguments on the bail application heard.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has submitted that applicant/accused is in JC since 25.08.2024 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that investigation of the present FIR case has already been completed and the charge-sheet has already been filed in the Hon'ble Court. It is further submitted that applicant/accused no more required for investigation in the present FIR case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is the sole bread earner of his family consisting of old aged father who is suffering from old age ailments, two younger sisters of marriage and a younger brother. It is further submitted that the co-accused persons have already been granted regular bail by the Hon'ble Court. It is further submitted no fruitful purpose would be served to keep the applicant/accused behind the bar. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be allowed on ground of parity.

.....Contd2/-

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Briefly stated, the present FIR bearing no.610/2024 has been registered at PS Shahad Dair under section 309(6)/311/317(2)/61(2)/3(5) BNS & U/s. 25/27 Arms Act. The allegations against applicant/accused are that he along with his other co-accused persons, in furtherance of their common intention, committed robbery of cash amounting to Rs.6,13,000/- from the possession of the complainant. As per the charge-sheet, applicant/accused Sunil had shown pistol to the complainant to threaten him during robbery and he had played active participation in commission of the alleged offence. Further, as per reply filed by IO, cash amounting to Rs.4,00,000/- out of the robbed amount and one desi katta along with two live catridges were recovered from the possession of applicant/accused Sunil. Trial of the present case has not been initiated as yet. Charges are yet to be framed and material witnesses are yet to be examined.

.....Contd3/-

Further, as per previous involvement record, applicant/accused Sunil is involved in 04 cases of robbery and theft which shows that he is a habitual offender.

Keeping in view of above discussion as well as keeping in view of seriousness of allegations and also considering the seriousness and gravity of the offences alleged against applicant/accused namely **this Court is not inclined to grant bail to applicant/accused namely Sunil. The present bail application stands dismissed, accordingly.**

Copy of order be given dasti to the concerned parties. The present bail application be tagged along with the main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)

Addl. Sessions Judge-04

North District Rohini Courts Delhi

15.10.2025 ^(R)