

IA No. 3/2026 in SC No. 7161/2025
State Vs. Sunny
FIR No. 304/2025
PS Swaroop Nagar
U/s. 80/85/3(5) BNS

25.07.2026

This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of the accused / applicant namely Sunny.

Present: Dr. Sarita Rani, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Mohit Chauhan, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the accused / applicant.

Reply to the above said already application filed.

1. It was argued by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused that accused / applicant is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the present case as the accused / applicant has no role in the commission of the alleged offence. It was further argued that the accused /applicant has been running in JC since 14.06.2025. It was argued further that all the public witnesses have already been examined. It was argued that the accused / applicant has no previous criminal involvement in any other case. It is further argued that no purpose would be served to keep the accused in custody. That accused is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions. It is prayed that a lenient view be taken.

2. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and argued that allegations against the applicant are serious in nature and if

applicant is released on bail, he may threaten the witnesses and he may also jump the bail and prayer is made for dismissal of the bail applications.

3. As per report filed by the IO, it was stated by him that earlier bail application of the accused / applicant has already been dismissed vide order dated 06.06.2026. It was further reported that the accused / applicant has no previous criminal record.

4. I have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused and Ld. Addl. PP for the State as well as perused the report filed by the IO.

5. From the perusal of the case file, it is found that all the public/ material witnesses have already been examined. Other remaining witnesses are either police officials or doctors. Therefore, as such, there is no apprehension of influencing of the evidence / witnesses. Further, accused / applicant has been running in JC since 14.06.2025. The accused / applicant has no criminal antecedent as per report filed by the IO. The trial shall take its own course, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind the bars. Considering all the facts and circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, applicant/accused **is hereby enlarged on bail on furnishing of bail bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety of the like amount, who is permanent resident of Delhi/NCR, to the satisfaction of the court**, but subject to the following conditions:

(a) The applicant/accused shall attend the court

- proceedings regularly.
- (b) That in case of change of his residential address, he shall intimate the Court about the same.
 - (c) The accused shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
 - (d) The accused shall not indulge into similar offence in the event of release on bail.
 - (e) The applicant/accused shall not try to contact or influence the witnesses, in any manner, directly or indirectly.

Application stands disposed off.

6. *It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.*

7. Copy of the order be given *dasti* to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant as well as be sent to concerned IO, concerned Jail Superintendent, Ld. Secretary, DLSA (North), through all possible modes, as per rules.

(VANDANA)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
25.07.2026