

IA No. 1/2026 in SC No. 7063/2025

State Vs. Govind Ballabh

FIR No. 29/2025

PS Alipur

U/s. 103(1)/238(a)/ BNS and 25/27 Arms Act

18.02.2026

**This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of the accused / applicant namely Govind Ballabh.**

Present: Sh. Vikas, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.

Dr. Ajay Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the accused / applicant.

IO SI Mohan Lal is present.

Reply filed. Copy supplied.

1. Briefly stated that on 17.01.2025 at about 13:47 hours, a PCR call was received at PS Alipur, Delhi regarding a dead body lying in a pond situated at Village Akbarpur Mazra, Delhi. The police immediately reached the spot and found a dead male body, later identified as Rakesh (aged 29 years) S/o Ram Prakash Rajpoot R/o Village Akbarpur Mazra, Delhi. It has been further stated that upon inspection, the deceased was found to have sustained a gunshot injury on the right side of his head. During further examination of the surrounding area, bloodstains were found on the roof of a nearby building, which appeared to have been partially cleaned. An empty cartridge of 7.65 mm was also recovered from the said rooftop. The preliminary investigation clearly indicated that the deceased was shot on the rooftop and thereafter his dead body was dumped into the pond

to destroy evidence.

Further, it has been stated that thereafter, the statement of Smt. Bhagwati Devi, mother of the deceased, was recorded, wherein she categorically stated that her son had left home at about 10:00 AM on 17.01.2025 along with Govind Ballabh, son of their landlord. She further stated that there was an ongoing property and financial dispute between the deceased and the accused. On the basis of her statement, the present case was registered and investigation was taken up. Further, during the course of investigation, it was revealed that the mobile phone of the deceased was missing. The post-mortem examination of the deceased was conducted at BJRM Hospital, which confirmed death due to firearm injury, and thereafter the dead body was handed over to his relatives after due legal formalities.

It has been further stated that during further investigation, the building where bloodstains were found was identified as an undivided ancestral property of accused Govind Ballabh. When efforts were made to trace the accused, he was found absconding, showing his guilty conduct. However, through technical surveillance and secret informer inputs, accused Govind Ballabh was apprehended on 18.01.2025 and arrested in the present case.

That during interrogation, accused Govind Ballabh disclosed that the deceased Rakesh was working for him as a loan recovery agent and was also facilitating loans from banks. Accused disclosed that he had paid ₹5,00,000/- to the deceased for arranging a loan of ₹40,00,000/-, and as part of the said transaction, he had handed over his Mercedes car to the deceased

for sale. However, the deceased neither arranged the loan nor returned the money or the vehicle, which resulted in a serious financial dispute and ultimately led the accused to commit the murder of the deceased.

2. It was argued by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused that accused is running in JC since 18.01.2025 and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It was further argued that the accused / applicant is the young boy of age 22 years and he is a student. It was further argued that no recovery has been effected from the accused / applicant. It was argued further that the present case is based on circumstantial evidence. It was argued further that the the investigation of the present case is complete and no custodial interrogation of the accused / applicant is required. It was argued further that the charge is yet to be framed and trial shall take its own course. It was argued by the Ld. counsel for the accused / applicant that there is a delay of 10 hours in lodging the FIR. It was argued by the Ld. counsel for the accused / applicant that the accused /applicant has no criminal antecedent and bears a good reputation in the society hence, there is no apprehension of him absconding or fleeing from the process of law. It is further argued that no purpose would be served to keep the accused in custody. That accused is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions. It is prayed that a lenient view be taken.

3. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and argued that

allegations against the applicant are serious in nature and if applicant is released on bail, he may threaten the witnesses and he may also jump the bail and prayer is made for dismissal of the bail application.

4. I have heard the Ld. Addl. PP for State and Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused as well as perused the report filed by the IO.

5. Matter is at the initial stage. Charge is yet to be framed. The accused / applicant has specific role in commission of offence. Mother of deceased, in her statement has stated that deceased (her son) left home alongwith the accused / applicant at 10.00 a.m. on 17.01.2025. The mobile phone of the deceased was recovered at the instance of the accused / applicant. Even the firearms used in the commission of offence was also recovered at instance of the accused / applicant. Moreover, the allegations against the accused / applicant are serious and grave in nature. There is every possibility if released on bail there are chances of influencing or threatening the witnesses including the complainant. There are grave and serious allegations against the applicant/accused.

6. Considering the grave and serious allegations made above, no ground for grant of bail is made out at this stage. The application stands dismissed.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

7. It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Copy of the order be given dasti to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused as well as be sent to concerned IO, Ld. Secretary, DLSA (North), through all possible modes, as per rules.

**(VANDANA)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)**  
**Rohini Courts Delhi**  
**18.02.2026**