

MACT 581/23
PANKAJ Vs. SATISH KUMAR

12.09.2025

Present: Shri R. K. Jain, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
None for driver and owner.
Shri S. K. Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for insurance company.

Report received from AIIMS that patient may be referred to RML hospital or Lok Nayak hospital as the patient was treated there.

It is seen that RML hospital has already reported that expert opinion may be taken from AIIMS as there is no audiologist/SLP in RML hospital.

Further, it is seen that once the order is passed for the hospital to assess the disability, the opinion does not lie with the hospital to not to assess the patient unless certain facilities are not available.

Chair Person AIIMS was directed to assess the disability in view of the report filed by the RML hospital and instead of making any assessment, a frivolous report has been filed. Petitioner is a senior citizen and is being made to run to different hospital for assessment of disability. The onus lies upon the hospital to explain as to why the petitioner was not examined despite the order of the court. Accordingly, **notice be issued to Chair person as to why action be not taken against him for non compliance of the order of this court.**

Copy of the order be sent alongwith the notice.

Put up on 10.10.2025.

(Richa Manchanda)
Judge MACT-2 (North)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/12.09.2025