

8 CS DJ 896/23 Nirmal Gupta Vs. Harsh Gupta
20.11.2025

Present: Sh. Digvijay, Counsel for plaintiffs.
Sh. Aman Bhardwaj, Counsel for defendant.

The plaintiff no. 1 on her statement given on 28.09.2024 was deleted from the array of parties. In my opinion, instead of her deletion, she should have been transposed as D-2 being one of the LR's of the predecessor-in-interest of the parties and as such, is a necessary party.

The counsel for the remaining plaintiffs states that he shall move an application in this regard. He may do so in accordance with law. However, his application seeking amendment pending on record is disposed off as withdrawn.

To come up for further proceedings on **19.03.2026**.

Interim order to continue in the meantime.

(Sidharth Mathur)
District Judge-01(N)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/ 20.11.2025