

IA No. 4/2026 in SC No. 6956/2025
State Vs. Dinesh @ Arjun @ Monty
FIR No. 409/2025
PS Bhalswa Dairy
U/s. 109(1)/110/3(5)/ BNS & 25/27 Arms Act

10.07.2026

This is the third application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail, moved on behalf of applicant/accused Dinesh @ Arjun @ Monty.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Sirohi, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Ashok Kumar Singh, Id. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Arguments have already been heard.

1. It was argued by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that the applicant/accused is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It was further argued that applicant/accused is in JC since 10.06.2025. It was argued further that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the accused / applicant. Ld. Counsel for the accused / applicant has further prayed the ground of parity as co-accused person namely Rishikesh Giri @ Golu has already been granted bail. It was argued further that the trial will take long time to conclude, hence, no fruitful purpose would be served to keep the accused in custody. That accused is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions. It was prayed that a lenient view be taken.

2. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State has

vehemently opposed the above said application arguing that the allegations against the accused / applicant are serious and grave in nature, if applicant/accused is released on bail, he may threaten the witnesses and he may also jump the bail. Hence, prayer is made to dismiss the above said application.

3. I have heard the Ld. Addl. PP for State and Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused as well as perused the report/reply filed by the IO.

4. Ld. counsel for the accused / applicant placed the order with respect to granting bail to the co-accused, seeking parity, but, it is evident that from the said order itself, that the co-accused was granted bail considering that there was no specific role assigned to him, however, it has been categorically stated by the IO as well as Ld. Addl. PP for the State that the accused / applicant herein has played an active role in the offence. It has been further stated that weapon of offence has been recovered from the possession of the accused / applicant. There are also other previous involvement of the applicant/accused, as reflected in the report filed by the IO.

Allegations against the applicant/accused are grave and serious in nature and he played active role in the commission of offence. As per report of IO, it is clear that the role of co-accused is completely different from the role attributed to accused / applicant, hence, parity is not made out. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and in fact weapon of offence was recovered from the possession of the

applicant / accused.

Matter is still at the initial stages, where charge is yet to be framed. All the witnesses including material/public witnesses are yet to be examined. The earlier bail application of the applicant/accused has been dismissed on 29.11.2025 and since then there is no change in circumstances which has been brought forth in the knowledge of the court. There is every possibility if released on bail there are chances of influencing or threatening the witnesses including the complainant. There are grave and serious allegations against the applicant/accused.

5. Considering the grave and serious allegations made above, no ground for grant of bail is made out at this stage. The application stands dismissed.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

6. *It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.*

7. Copy of the order be given *dasti* to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused as well as be sent to concerned IO, Ld. Secretary, DLSA (North), through all possible modes, as per rules.

(VANDANA)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
10.07.2026