

CS DJ 1103/17
BHAWNA BHATIA Vs. ROHIT CHAWLA

01.07.2026

Present : Mr. Amreek Singh Babbar and Ms. Parmeet, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Ms. Supreet Bimbira, Ld. Counsel from DLSA, through VC.

Ms. Rashmi Chawla, wife of deceased in person.

Amended memo of parties is already filed. **Let the copy be supplied today itself against acknowledgment.**

Heard.

It is noticed that Ms. Rashmi Chawla, wife of deceased defendant has submitted that mother of deceased defendant Ms. Kiran Chawla is still alive. From this, the court is of the view that the mother of the deceased defendant ought to be cited as LR of deceased defendant but the same is not done so far with disposal of application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC which came on record on 25.10.2024. It is pertinent to note here that the name of mother of deceased defendant was not cited in the application and reply to this application was sought from proposed LR Ms. Rashmi who is also representing minor son of deceased defendant. But no reply to this application, disclosing name of mother of deceased, is filed and present counsel from DLSA Ms. Supreet Bimbira representing her had submitted 'no objection' to that application. So presence/existence of mother of deceased defendant as one of his LR, remained undisclosed even by Ms. Rashmi who was being represented by Ms. Supreet Bimbira.

On court query, present Ld. Counsel from DLSA Ms. Supreet Bimbira admits that 'no objection' to application under Order 22 Rule

contd....

4 CPC was given by her but states that it was simultaneously apprised to the then court that mother of deceased defendant is not cited as one of his LR in application. This submission is shocking and not even acceptable at all for two reasons;

firstly, 'no objection' by Ld. Counsel itself implies that the party representing through counsel is accepting that the application was correct in all material points i.e. the application is disclosing correctly the number of LRs and their names. Had there been any such additional submission on part of Ld. Counsel, a simplicitor 'no objection' would not have been submitted;

secondly, the application of plaintiff under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC was allowed considering 'no objection' given by Ms. Supreet Bimbira on 04.09.2024 and now till date, when about two years have passed, no application for correction in ordersheet is even made.

These disclosures could be made by a party, had it been assisted effectively by Ld. Counsel. But during hearing, Ld. Counsel keeps on burdening Ld. Predecessor of this court for not taking her submission on record. It is a glaring attempt on part of Ld. Counsel Ms. Supreet Bimbira to

contd.....

scandalize the proceedings conducted by Ld. Predecessor, while she herself failed to draft the appropriate reply to the application. She is counselled again and again by this court but it seems that she is not gaining the implication of her submissions. Hence, it is deemed appropriate that the information of the conduct of Ld. Counsel Ms. Supreet Bimbra be sent to Ld. Secretary, DLSA, North District, Rohini Courts. **Let the copy of this order be sent to Ld. Secretary, DLSA, North District, Rohini Courts to apprise the conduct of Ld. Counsel Ms. Supreet Bimbra and it is also requested to Ld. Secretary, DLSA, North District, Rohini Courts that it be examined that Ms. Rashmi is given effective legal assistance to defend the case appropriately.**

Further, correcting the proceedings, this court hereby impleads Ms. Kiran Chawla R/o 2471, Hudson Line, Kingsway Camp, Delhi who is the mother of deceased defendant as one of the LRs of deceased defendant. Now, amended memo of parties be filed by plaintiff and notice be issued to Ms. Kiran Chawla to appear and defend the suit as one of the LRs of deceased defendant on filing of PF/Speed Post & AD, returnable for 19.09.2026. The tracking report of article as obtained from internet be also filed by plaintiff.

(Aanchal)
District Judge-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
01.07.2026 (jy)