

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts

The case is fixed for arguments on sentence. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex : Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Convict with Id. counsel Sh. S.C. Buttan.

Arguments on sentence heard.

Vide separate judgment announced today through CISCO webex, the convict has been sentenced to three years simple imprisonment.

Ld. Counsel for the convict has submitted that he shall file the appeal against the judgment of conviction and order on sentence and as such he may be given six months time so that his appeal gets listed before the concerned bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi as in view the circumstances created by COVID-19 pandemic the same may get delayed. Ld. Counsel has submitted that he may be exempted from filing the application u/s 389 Cr.P.C. for suspension of sentence and for releasing the convict on bail till the time of filing the appeal and his oral submission may be considered in this regard. **In view of the said submission of Id. Counsel for the convict, the convict is granted six months time for the said purpose & two days time to file bail bond u/s 389 Cr.P.C. in the sum of Rs. 35,000/- each on behalf of the convict through the official email ID of this Court i.e. reader.asj04north@gmail.com. Till then, the substantive sentence of imprisonment of convict shall remain suspended.**

Certain guidelines are required to be fulfilled as per the guidelines passed by Hon'ble High Court in the case titled as Karan Vs State (NCT of Delhi) dated 27/11/2020 & as such the convict is required to file affidavit of assets by 15/05/2020. Let copy of this order along with annexure-A be sent to convict through whatsapp/ email, with direction to send the said affidavit duly filled, verified and signed by him to this Court. The prosecution is directed to provide the details of expenditure incurred by the State in this case within 30 days. MISC IA number be generated for the said purpose and a copy of this order be placed in the said MISC IA number.

File be consigned to Record Room.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the accused as well as to Id. Addl. PP for the State.

(Shivaji Anand)

IAddl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

File taken-up today as this application for grant of interim bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C for 45 days moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Sandeep @ Babloo is placed before me i. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex : Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Akash Sharma, Id. Proxy counsel for main counsel Sh. Suraj Prakash for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has been received.

It is submitted by Id. Proxy counsel that main counsel is unable to appear through webex today as he is not well. He has further submitted that regular bail application of this applicant/accused is fixed for 06/05/2021 and as such this application may also be listed for said date.

At request of proxy counsel, put up for consideration of this application on **06/05/2021**.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi: 04/05/2021(A)

DLNT01-004166-2019
Session Case no. 256/2019
FIR No. 485/2018
PS-Bawana
State Vs-Harsh @ Deepak @ Joni & Ors. (Manoj @ Chotu @ Toni)
U/s-307/174A/34 IPC

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Manoj @ Chotu @ Toni) is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/1234012364,dated 30.03.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:None for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has been received.

Since none has appeared on behalf of the applicant/accused, put up for consideration of the bail application on **12/05/2021**.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

DLNT01-009507-2018
Session Case no. 704/2018
FIR No. 224/2018
PS-Prashant Vihar
State Vs-Satish Kumar @ Toni
U/s-302/201/120B read with section 34 IPC

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 26/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail/interim bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Satish Kumar @ Toni is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/1234012364,dated 30.03.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Harsh Vardhan Sharma, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has been received. Verification report qua the caretaker of wife of the applicant/accused has been received.

At request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, the bail application is kept pending till next date of hearing i.e. 05/07/2021.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 26/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

File taken-up today as these two applications, one for grant of regular bail & another for grant of interim bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C for 45 days moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Nirmala @ Nira are placed before me. The same are taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex : Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Arun Kumar Tripathi, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail applications have been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that at this stage, he is not pressing the regular bail application of the applicant/accused as detailed arguments are required to be advanced during physical presence once the courts started functioning regularly and as such the same may be kept pending for next date of hearing.

During course of arguments on the interim bail application, Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that the same may be kept pending till next date of hearing.

At request of Id. Counsel, **regular bail application as well as interim bail application of the applicant/accused are kept pending till next date of hearing i.e. 05/07/2021.**

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Rahul Sejwal is are placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364,dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Ayyub Ahmed, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that this applicant/accused is in custody in this case since the date of arrest i.e. 13/02/2021. He has further submitted that the applicant/accused has been released in case FIR no. 42/2021, PS Samai Pur Badli, u/s 379/411/34 IPC on 07/04/2021 by the Court of Ms. Tapasya Aggarwal, Id. MM, on his furnishing personal bond & surety bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- each. He has further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused and recovery if any is totally planted one. He has further submitted that applicant/accused has goats and cows and sells the milk of the said animals to maintain his house and parents. Id. Counsel has further submitted that name of the applicant/accused is not mentioned in the FIR and he was not arrested on the spot. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is ready to give local surety to the satisfaction of the Court & will not jump the bail, if released on bail. He has lastly prayed for grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused that he along with his associate had stolen the mobile phone of the complainant, which was later on recovered from their possession. He has further submitted that this applicant/accused is a habitual offender and multiple cases are lodged against him. He has further submitted that theft cases are on rise these days and there is possibility that the applicant/accused may indulge in such type of cases again in case he is released on bail.

Heard. Keeping in view the young age & custody period of the applicant/accused, also in view of the fact that recovery has already been effected, also in view of the fact that there is involvement of the applicant/accused mainly in theft cases and also in view of the circumstances created by COVID-19 cases, **the applicant/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety in the like amount**

Bail application no. 1362/2021
FIR No.22/2021
PS Narela Industrial Area
State Vs-Rahul Sejwal
U/s-379/411/34 IPC

:2:

to the satisfaction of the concerned Id. MM/Link MM/duty MM. However, the applicant/accused is directed not to indulge in any such crime or tamper with evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses and to follow the guidelines qua COVID 19 issued by Government of India as well as by Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The applicant shall share his phone live location as and when required by the IO. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to expressing of any opinion over the merits of the case.

Bail application file be consigned to Record Room.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Rahul Sejwal is are placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364,dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Ayyub Ahmed, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that this applicant/accused is in custody in this case since the date of arrest i.e. 13/02/2021. He has further submitted that the applicant/accused has been released in case FIR no. 42/2021, PS Samai Pur Badli, u/s 379/411/34 IPC on 07/04/2021 by the Court of Ms. Tapasya Aggarwal, Id. MM, on his furnishing personal bond & surety bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- each. He has further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused and recovery if any is totally planted one. He has further submitted that applicant/accused has goats and cows and sells the milk of the said animals to maintain his house and parents. Id. Counsel has further submitted that name of the applicant/accused is not mentioned in the FIR and he was not arrested on the spot. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is ready to give local surety to the satisfaction of the Court & will not jump the bail, if released on bail. He has lastly prayed for grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused that stolen mobile phone of the complainant, who is a police official, was recovered from his possession and on his instance the stolen motorcycle was recovered in burnt condition. He has further submitted that this applicant/accused is a habitual offender and multiple cases are lodged against him. He has further submitted that theft cases are on rise these days and there is possibility that the applicant/accused may indulge in such type of cases again in case he is released on bail.

Heard. Keeping in view the young age & custody period of the applicant/accused, also in view of the fact that recovery has already been effected, also in view of the fact that there is involvement of the applicant/accused mainly in theft cases and also in view of the circumstances created by COVID-19 cases, **the applicant/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety in the like amount**

Bail application no. 1322/2021
FIR No.9/2021
PS Narela Industrial Area
State Vs-Rahul Sejwal
U/s-379/435/411/34 IPC

:2:

to the satisfaction of the concerned Id. MM/Link MM/duty MM. However, the applicant/accused is directed not to indulge in any such crime or tamper with evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses and to follow the guidelines qua COVID 19 issued by Government of India as well as by Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The applicant shall share his phone live location as and when required by the IO. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to expressing of any opinion over the merits of the case.

Bail application file be consigned to Record Room.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Rahul Sejwal is are placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364,dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Ayyub Ahmed, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

:

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that this applicant/accused is in custody in this case since the date of arrest i.e. 13/02/2021. He has further submitted that the applicant/accused has been released in case FIR no. 42/2021, PS Samai Pur Badli, u/s 379/411/34 IPC. He has further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused and recovery if any is totally planted one. He has further submitted that applicant/accused has goats and cows and sells the milk of the said animals to maintain his house and parents. Id. Counsel has further submitted that name of the applicant/accused is not mentioned in the FIR and he was not arrested on the spot. He has further submitted that injuries were caused by main accused Suraj. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is ready to give local surety to the satisfaction of the Court & will not jump the bail, if released on bail. He has lastly prayed for grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that he along with his co-accused had wrongfully restrained the complainant and the injured by putting their motorcycle in front of the motorcycle of the injured and ran away from there after causing stab injury to injured Aaditya. He has further submitted that multiple cases of theft are lodged against this applicant/accused, which are on rise these days and in case bail is granted to him, there is possibility that he may indulge in such crime again.

Heard. Keeping in view the young age & custody period of the applicant/accused, also in view of the fact that he is not the person who had caused the stab injury to injured Aditya, also in view of the fact that there is no recovery from the applicant/accused, also in view of the fact that there is involvement of the applicant/accused mainly in theft cases and also in view of the circumstances created by COVID-19 cases, **the applicant/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his**

Bail application no. 1316/2021
FIR No.54/2021
PS Narela Industrial Area
State Vs-Rahul Sejwal
U/s-324/341/34 IPC

:2:

furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Id. MM/Link MM/duty MM. However, the applicant/accused is directed not to indulge in any such crime or tamper with evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses and to follow the guidelines qua COVID 19 issued by Government of India as well as by Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The applicant shall share his phone live location as and when required by the IO. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to expressing of any opinion over the merits of the case.

Bail application file be consigned to Record Room.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

File taken-up today as this application for grant of interim bail u/s 439 Cr.PC for a period of two months moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Bimla is placed before me since the same is pending. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Veerpal Singh, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that family members of the applicant/accused received a telephonic information from jail authority that this applicant/accused suffered paralysis attack on 23/04/2021 due to which she fell down and received grievous injury on her head. He has further submitted that due to COVID-19 pandemic, lot of cases are spreading in Tihar Jail, hence the applicant/accused need proper care of health and medicine. He has prayed for interim bail for two months to the applicant/accused.

Pursuant to previous order, report qua medical condition of the applicant/accused has been received from concerned Jail Superintendent. As per report of Deputy Superintendent, Central Jail no. 6, Tihar, jail authority has not made any telephonic conversation about the applicant/accused and the submission of counsel that applicant/accused suffered paralysis attack on 23/04/2021 due to which she fell down and received grievous injury on her head is totally false. As per the said report of Medical officer, the applicant/accused is a follow up case of Lumber spondylosis/osteoarthritis bilateral Knee and non-specific Pruritis (itching) and is under follow-up of jail visiting skin SR/orthopedic SR and doctors posted in CJ-06 dispensary. It is further mentioned that applicant/accused never gave any history of paralytic attack and fall and on examination, no injury marks found. It is further mentioned that she did not give any history of influenza like illness symptoms like cough, cold, fever or contact/exposure with COVID-19, her rapid antigen test was found negative and at present her health status is satisfactory and all prescribed medicines provided to her from CJ-06 dispensary.

In view of the aforesaid report, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of interim bail to the applicant/accused.

Heard. Keeping in view the aforesaid report of concerned Jail superintendent and concerned Medical officer that applicant/accused did not suffer any paralytic attack, her Rapid Antigen Report is negative and at present her health status is satisfactory, no ground for grant of interim bail is made out. Hence, the present bail application is dismissed and is disposed of accordingly.

Bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Ankit is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364,dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Jai Prakash, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that this applicant/accused approached the Hon'ble Delhi High Court for seeking bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. and said bail application was disposed of by the Court of Justice Sh. Vibhu Bakhru vide order dated 21/09/2020, copy of which is annexed with the bail application. He has further deposed that applicant/accused is in the judicial custody since the date of his arrest in the present case i.e. 26/12/2018, he has not committed the offence as alleged against him by the investigating agency/complainant and hence he is not named by the complainant in the above alleged case. Id. Counsel has further submitted that the complainant of the case as well as the applicant/accused both are resident of same vicinity/village and very well known to each other since childhood. He has submitted that complainant is also involved in multiple cases which are related to heinous offences. He has further submitted that the name of the applicant/accused could have been mentioned/alleged by the complainant in the present alleged case, if he had participated in the commission of the alleged crime. He has further submitted that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case by the investigating agency merely on the basis of disclosure statements. Id. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused is a young boy having clear antecedents as he has never been involved or convicted earlier in any criminal case, however, the local police has implicated him in another case bearing FIR no. 459/2018, PS K.N. Katju Marg also on the same day, at the time of his arrest in the present case, without any primary evidence against him. He has further submitted that applicant/accused has been granted bail by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in the said FIR vide order dated 02/12/2020. Id. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused was apprehended by the investigating agency on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused persons and thereafter

:2:

he has been interrogated by keeping him on police custody remand for two days, but nothing incriminating evidence has been recovered neither from the possession nor at the instance of the applicant/accused. He has further submitted that the investigating agency has failed to recover, even, an iota of primary evidence to link the applicant/accused with the alleged crime, except his disclosure statement. He has further submitted that investigation of the present case has been completed & charge-sheet has also been filed by the investigating agency. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is in the judicial custody since 28/12/2018 and having been facing trial, languishing in the jail, without any criminal act on his part and merely on the basis of disclosure statement. He has further submitted that no effective progress has been done so far in the trial proceedings and there is no possibility of any effective progress in the near future due to ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that father of the applicant/accused has been surviving through critical phase of his life as he has been suffering from Cancer since October, 2015 and is under continuous treatment for Cancer from Action Cancer Hospital, Delhi, as he has been advised to take prescribed medicines on the regular basis. He has further submitted that father of the applicant/accused has become physically very weak due to long diagnoses for his illness due to cancer and he is not able to visit to hospital, if required, without an escort. He has further submitted that OPD working in the hospitals are going suspended completely due to lockdown and he is completely helpless to get treatment from the hospital in the absence of any other matured capable person in the family. He has further submitted that there is one elder brother of the applicant/accused in the family, but he used to reside separately due to temperamental reasons and hence does not have any concern with the other members of family. He has further submitted that the applicant/accused is in need to provide moral and physical assistance as well as help to his ailing father and his old aged mother in the ongoing facing time due to Corona. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused is ready to furnish a sound surety for the satisfaction of the Court and is further ready to obey the direction passed by the Court in case of releasing him on bail/interim bail, undertakes not to misuse the liberty of bail/interim bail and there is no apprehension of his tampering with the prosecution witnesses. He has lastly prayed for grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that this applicant/accused was driving the car which chased and hit the scooter of the complainant and was aware of the cause of action and as such he is liable for the offence u/s 34 IPC.

Heard. Keeping in view the custody period of the applicant/accused, also in view of the fact that though the applicant/accused was driving the alleged vehicle, but he did not make any fire and his role is quite different from the other co-accused, who came out and had caused gunshots to the complainant, also considering the fact that there is no recovery from the applicant/accused and

DLNT01-004166-2019
Session Case no. 256/2019
FIR No.485/2018
PS-Bawana
Ankit Mann @ Mann Vs The State NCT Delhi
U/s-307/120B/174A/34 IPC
& u/s 25/27 Arms Act

:3:

also in view of the fact that though there is previous involvement of the applicant/accused, **he is already on bail in that case, he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 35,000/- with one surety in the like amount.** However, the applicant/accused is directed not to indulge in any such crime or tamper with evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses and to follow the guidelines qua COVID 19 issued by Government of India as well as by Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The applicant shall share his phone live location as and when required by the IO. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to expressing of any opinion over the merits of the case.

Bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

File taken up today as an application for grant of interim bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. for 15 days moved on behalf of applicant/accused Mahesh Gupta is placed before me, which is pending. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Manoj Gupta, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has been received.

Detail status report qua the health condition of the applicant/accused has also been received. As per report of Medical officer (in-charge), Central Jail Disp. 8/9, Tihar, New Delhi, applicant/accused is suffering from Diabetes Mellitus with Hypertension & high blood sugar level-222mg/dl and BP-160/100mmHG with medications and at present, the general health condition of the applicant/accused is stable and all prescribed medicines are being provided to him from Jail Dispensary itself.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that the present application for interim bail is being filed on behalf of the applicant, who is arrayed as an accused in the present case. He has further submitted that previously, an application for regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. was filed by the applicant/accused before this Court which was dismissed vide order dated 29/10/2020. H has further submitted that applicant/accused also moved another application for regular bail before the Court, but the same was withdrawn by the applicant/accused vide order dated 14/04/2021. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that Tihar Jail has become hotspot for COVID-19 and the virus has not only infected the inmates but also the jail staff, the pandemic has been unforgiving and the numbers have risen to double, within few day. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is a chronic patient of high blood pressure and diabetes and hence holds very low immunity to fight against corona virus and requires proper medical attention and due care during the pandemic and every single day of extended detention would only expose the applicant/accused to the risk of getting infected by corona virus. He has further submitted that some medical documents showing the continuing ailments of the applicant/accused are annexed with the bail application. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused is the head of the family and any mishappening with his health and well being would adversely affect the entire family when his guilt has not been proved. He has further submitted that the alleged relevant recoveries have also been made by the investigating agency and hence there is no chance of tampering with the evidence, investigation is complete, charge-sheet has already been filed and due to ongoing pandemic, the trial is likely to take a long time to initiate. He has further submitted that such a long prolonged incarceration has already caused immense mental distress to the applicant/accused and his family, his business has ruined totally and his

:2:

family is facing acute financial crises. He has further submitted that there are no proper arrangements in the jail premises to main social distancing and since the jails are overcrowded by their capacity, the quarantine is also not practically possible. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is permanent resident of Delhi and there is no risk of his absconding, he undertakes to bound by any condition as imposed by this Court and also to present the sound surety as required by this Court. He has further submitted that in the wake of COVID-19 pandemic, the applicant/accused craves the lenient and humanitarian view from this Court. He has lastly prayed for grant of 15 days interim bail to the applicant/accused.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of interim bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that it is a murder case & there is previous involvement of the applicant/accused u/s 365/302 IPC. He has further submitted that even at the time of commission of offence, the applicant/accused was suffering from these basic general ailments. He has further submitted that in case any directions of HPC is received in future, the applicant/accused may avail the same.

Heard. Though as per the report of concerned Medical officer, the applicant/accused is suffering from Diabetes Mellitus with Hypertension & high blood sugar level- and BP with medications, but keeping in view the fact that the general health condition of the applicant/accused is stable and all prescribed medicines are being provided to him from Jail Dispensary itself and no urgency has been shown regarding any immediate treatment to him, which is not available at jail, no ground for grant of interim bail to the applicant/accused is made out. Hence, the present bail application is dismissed and is disposed of accordingly.

Bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of anticipatory bail u/s 438 Cr.P.C moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Sagar is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/1234012364,dated 30.03.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Anil Dagar, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

: IO ASI Sadanand.

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that this applicant/accused is aged about 23 years of age, having deep roots in the society, belongs to a respectable family and presently residing at Delhi. He has further submitted that applicant is working at United Arab Emirates since January 2020. He has further submitted that police of PS KNK Marg Delhi registered the present FIR on the basis of a written complaint moved by one Nischay Khurana. He has further submitted that incident took place on 03/06/2020 whereas the complaint was moved on 04/06/2020 and that too was moved by the informant based on the telephonic conversation received from his staff persons and the FIR has been filed after a considerable delay. Id. Counsel has further submitted that upon investigation, the police arrested accused Rajeev, Kapil, Subham and Gulli and Sagar and a juvenile on the identification of victims Gaurav and Pawan. He has further submitted that police has further alleged the involvement of the applicant and one Sandeep Pandey on the basis of alleged disclosure statement suffered by the accused. He has further submitted that no role has been attributed the applicant in the entire FIR and police has not collected a single evidence which proves the involvement of the applicant or his linkage with other co-accused of India. Id. Counsel has further submitted that the applicant has no concern with the offence, has nothing to do with the alleged offence and is not required for any custodial interrogation. Id. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused undertakes to join the investigation as and when directed by the Court to do so & further undertakes to abide by all terms & conditions as imposed by the Court and also undertakes to furnish sound surety. He has lastly prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant on the ground that he is the mastermind behind the commission of crime and his custodial interrogation is necessary.

:2:

IO has submitted that this applicant/accused is friend of co-accused Kapil and is the mastermind behind hatching the conspiracy for the commission of crime and he is the one who had taken the mobile phone number of Kapil and told him about the money transaction through *Hawala* from Dubai to India and asked him to create a group of 4-5 boys & take a room on rent where the party of India would contact him for payment and there they would put pressure on the party for taking the payment and they will get the payment there at Dubai and in return Kapil and gang would get the commission. He has further submitted that there are whatsapp chats between this applicant/accused, Kapil and Sudhir Pandey qua the same. IO has submitted that phone of Kapil has been sent to FSL, report of which is awaited due to lock-down. He has further submitted that proceedings have been initiated against the aforeaid accused persons through the concerned authorities but due to COVID-19 there are not able to arrest the accused persons .

Heard. Keeping in view the seriousness of the offences, also in view of the fact that applicant/accused is stated to be the mastermind of crime, also in view of the fact that since the applicant/accused is residing in Dubai, UAE, due to which the investigation is not proceeding further and also in view of the fact that his custodial interrogation may be required by the investigating agency, the present application is dismissed and is disposed of accordingly.

Bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Rajiv @ Rajeev is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/1234012364,dated 30.03.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Prashant Diwan, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

: IO ASI Sadanand.

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that this applicant/accused is young boy, aged 20 years, pursuing higher education in jail. He has further submitted that investigation qua the FIR is completed and charge-sheet has already been filed. He has further submitted that matter corresponding to the present FIR has been committed to the Hon'ble Court of sessions vide order dated 26/03/2021 and is pending for adjudication for 03/05/2021. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is in custody since 05/06/2020, his bail applications have been dismissed vide order dated 30/06/2020, 14/07/2020, 24/08/2020 and 04/11/2020. He has further submitted that subsequently, bail applications were preferred in the Hon'ble High Court, which were dismissed on 18/09/2020 and 12/11/2020 respectively. Id. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused is in judicial custody for more than 300 days. He has further submitted that investigation qua the applicant/accused has already been completed, charge-sheet has already been filed, recovery has already been effected and applicant/accused is no more required for further investigation. He has further submitted that in accordance with per charge-sheet, the alleged recovery of Rs. 10,00,000/- was made on 06/06/2020 as per the seizure memo, whereas the applicant/accused had been in custody since 05/06/2020. He has further submitted that alleged mobile no. 9717192965, as alleged to have been used by the applicant/accused and other co-accused during the commission of the alleged crime is registered in the name of Kunal. He has further submitted that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the and wrongly framed, is an innocent person and has, previously not been involved in any criminal activity and no offence, as alleged, has been committed by him. He has further submitted that all the accused persons except the applicant/accused are on bail and as such he is seeking the bail. He has further submitted that applicant/accused will not misuse the concession of liberty, there are no chances of his absconding

:2:

away or tampering with the prosecution evidence and as such he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that bail application of this applicant/accused was dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. He has further submitted that one pistol was also recovered from the possession of this applicant/accused and the incident had happened in his rented house.

Heard. Keeping in view the young age of the applicant/accused, also in view of custody period of the applicant/accused and further in view of the fact that there is no previous involvement of this applicant/accused, **he is ordered to be released on bail in this case on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 35,000/- with one surety in the like amount.** However, the applicant/accused is directed not to indulge in any such crime or tamper with evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses and to follow the guidelines qua COVID 19 issued by Government of India as well as by Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The applicant shall share his phone live location as and when required by the IO. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to expressing of any opinion over the merits of the case.

Bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

DLNT01-
Session case no. 238/2021
FIR No. 100/2020
PS-Bawana
State Vs-**Rakesh Kumar @ Balle**
U/s-302/34 IPC
& u/s 25/27/59 Arms Act

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of interim bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C for a period of 60 days moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Rakesh Kumar @ Balle is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/1234012364,dated 30.03.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Amjad Khan, Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has been received.

Pursuant to previous report, no report qua health condition of the applicant/accused has been received. **Let copy of this order be sent on the whatsapp/email ID of concerned Jail Superintendent, with direction to file report qua present health condition of the applicant/accused and also to apprise this Court what treatment and medication has been given to the applicant/accused, on the next date of hearing.**

Put up for consideration of the bail application on **07/05/2021**.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

DLNT01-003110-2021
Session case no. 239/2021
Bail application no. 1326/2021
FIR No. 461/2020
PS-Alipur
State Vs-Sonu @ Kukki
U/s-186/353/308/482/34 IPC
& u/s 25 & 27 Arms Act

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Sonu @ Kukki is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/1234012364,dated 30.03.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Alamine, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

IO is not present. **Let copy of this order be sent on the whatsapp/email ID of concerned IO/SHO, with direction to appear in person through webex having meeting ID 176-157-7560 on next date of hearing.**

Put up for consideration of the bail application on **11/05/2021.**

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

FIR No. 108/2019
PS-Adarsh Nagar
State Vs-Shanti Devi
U/s-498A/304B/306/34 IPC

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of interim bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C for 45 days moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Shanti Devi is placed before me being the second link to the Court of Ms. Smita Garg, Id. ASJ, North, Delhi, who is stated to be on leave today and first link is also on leave today. . The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Sandeep Mishra, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

:

Reply to the bail application has been received.

Let copy of this order be sent on the whatsapp/email ID of concerned Jail Superintendent, with direction to file detailed report qua health condition of the applicant/accused on next date of hearing and also to apprise this Court whether any treatment or medication has been given to her or not.

Put up for consideration of the bail application on **10/05/2021**.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsels for the parties.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)

FIR No. 170/2019
PS-Bawana
State Vs-Amit Kumar
U/s-364A/395/397/34 IPC

04/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

File taken-up today as verification report qua bail bond and surety bond moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Amit Kumar is placed before me being the second link to the Court of Ms. Smita Garg, Id. ASJ, North, Delhi, who is stated to be on leave today and first link is also on leave today. . The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Paramjeet, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

:

As per the aforesaid verification report, the surety and surety documents are found genuine. In view of the same, **the personal bond and surety bond furnished on behalf of applicant/accused Amit Kumar are accepted for 15 days from the date of his release.**

Let copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent for information and compliance. It be treated as release warrant.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsels for the parties.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:04/05/2021(A)