

CS DJ 694/24

SAURABH KUMAR Vs. ABHISHEK KUMAR AND ANR.

19.12.2024

Present: Sh. Sharad Aggarwal, counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Sunil Dubey, Counsel for the defendants no.1 and 2.

Written statement alongwith reply to application u/o 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC has already filed by the defendants.

Counsel for the plaintiff states that he has already the copy of the same.

There is a delay of approximately of 48 days in filing the written statement by the defendants. It is argued that defendants contacted the counsel late and therefore, delay occurred.

Condonation of delay is not opposed.

Heard. File perused.

The prayer in the suit, is for Partition. Parties are related to each other. Defence of the defendants will help the court to reach just decision.

Delay is minimal. Same is condoned.

It is stated by both the parties that the present matter is likely to be settled and the present matter may be referred to Mediation Centre, North District, Rohini Courts, Delhi for settlement.

At joint request of both the parties, the present matter is hereby referred to Mediation Centre, North District, Rohini Courts, Delhi.

Consent proforma, signed by both the parties, is placed on record.

Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre, North District, Rohini Courts, Delhi on 07.01.2025 at 12.30 p.m. In case of failure of the compromise, the parties shall report back to this court for completion of pleadings of the parties, affidavit of admission/denial of documents and for framing of the issues and for arguments on the injunction application of the plaintiff u/o 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC on 08.04.2025.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-2/NORTH/ ROHINI
COURT/DELHI/19.12.2024