

MISC DJ 1516/25
PAWAN PANCHAL Vs. DEEPAK RATHI

20.12.2025

Present: Sh. Vineet Panchal and Saksham Anand, counsel for the plaintiff through VC.

Sh. Roshan Kumar and Ms. Samridhi Jain, Counsel for the defendant.

Counsel for plaintiff seeks time to file reply to application u/o 9 rule 13 CPC and to application u/o 22 rule 26 CPC.

Counsel for plaintiff states that he will not press for execution before Gurgaon Court till the next date of hearing.

Request of plaintiff is not opposed.

Counsel for the defendant is stated to be busy in Rajasthan due to personal difficulty.

At request of the counsel for the plaintiff, to come up for reply with advance copy thereof to the opposite counsel and for disposal of applications application u/o 9 rule 13 CPC and to application u/o 22 rule 26 CPC, on **13.04.2026**.

Plaintiff is directed not to press execution till the next date of hearing.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-2/NORTH/ ROHINI
COURT/DELHI/20.12.2025