

SC 560/2024
STATE Vs. SALIM @ IMRAN
FIR No. 360/2021
PS Bhalswa Dairy

12.11.2025

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Accused Saleem @ Imran produced from JC (**on bail in this case**).
Accused Karima in person on bail.
Sh. J. K. Kalson, Ld. Deputy Chief Legal Aid
Counsel for accused Karima.
IO/ASI Ram Narayan in person.

IO/ASI Ram Narayan has appeared before the Court and seeks oral apology and prayed that B/W issued against him may kindly be cancelled. Submissions heard. B/W issued against him stands cancelled. He is warned to be careful in future.

Accused Salim submits that he is languishing in J/C, belongs to poor strata of society, is unable to engage private counsel and has requested that he may be provided free Legal Aid.

Let free Legal Aid be provided to accused Saleem as per rules.

Ld. Addl. PP for the State has submitted that accused persons are actively involved in the present case. It is further argued that the nature of the offence committed by accused persons are heinous, indicating the gravity of the crime. It is further submitted that accused Karima caused grievous injuries with knife on the chest of Anuj and also voluntarily caused

multiple injuries on the person of Devender. It is argued that there is sufficient material to frame charges against both the accused persons for the offences punishable U/s. 307/324/34 IPC, therefore, charges against them for the aforementioned offences may kindly be framed.

On the other hand, Ld. Deputy Chief Legal Aid Defence Counsel (Dy. CLADC) for accused persons have argued that allegations against accused persons are false and they are innocent. It has been argued that accused persons have been falsely implicated in the present case in connivance with the police officials as well as complainant. It is further argued that police has not investigated the present case properly and fake witnesses have been cited as in the list of prosecution witnesses.

It is argued by Ld. Dy. CLADC that accused Karima may be discharged in the present case as the allegations of causing injuries by knife are only against accused Salim and that there was no common intention between accused Karima and Saleem to cause such injuries. It is further argued that both accused persons may kindly be discharged in the present FIR Case.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. Additional PP for State and Ld. counsel for accused persons as well as also perused the record placed before the same.

In case titled as Bhawna Bai Vs. Ghanshyam and others, (Criminal Appeal No. 1820 of 2019) Arising out of SLP(Crl.) No.

6964 of 2019, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has observed in para 16 of the said judgment as under:-

“ Para 16.....For framing the charges under Section 228 CrI.P.C, the judge is not required to record detailed reasons. As pointed out earlier, at the stage of framing the charge, the court is not required to hold an elaborate enquiry; only prima facie case is to be seen. As held in Knati Bhadra Shah and another V. State of West Bengal (2000) 1 SCC 722, while exercising power under Section 228 CrI. P.C, the judge is not required record his reasons for framing the charges against the accused.....”

After considering the arguments on behalf of Ld. Additional PP for State and Ld. Dy. CLADC for accused persons as well as after perusal of the record shows that, there are prima facie allegations against accused persons namely Salim @ Imran and Karima that on 10.06.2021, at about 10:10 PM at in front of H.No. D-1/79, Gali No. 2, J.J. Colony, Bhalaswa Dairy, Delhi, both of them caused grievous injuries with knife on the chest of Anuj and also voluntarily caused multiple injuries on the person of Devender. Perusal of the statement of complainant shows that in fact the quarrel had started when accused Karima and CCL “P” had started giving abusive words to the complainant and also caught him by his hair. Perusal of the statement of complainant further shows that accused Karima had also hit the complainant with a piece of brick and in the meantime, her son i.e. accused Salim caused grievous injuries to complainant with the knife.

Thus, in view of the above discussion, this court is of view that prima facie case for the offence punishable U/s. 307/324/34

IPC is made out against accused persons namely **Salim @ Imran and Karima**. Charges for the afore-mentioned offences are framed against accused persons namely **Salim @ Imran and Karima**, accordingly, to which both of them pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Put up for P.E on **21.02.2026**. Issue summons to PWs namely mentioned in the list of witnesses at serial no. 1 & 2 and IO on the next date of hearing.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
12.11.2025