

**4 CS DJ 305/25 SH SURENDER RANA Vs. KARUR VYSYA
BANK**

04.04.2026.

**Present: Sh. Anil Dagar, counsel for the plaintiff with plaintiff
in person.**

**Sh. Arjun Minocha, counsel for the defendant via
VC.**

**Sh. Mukul Srivastav, Bank Manager concerned on
behalf of the defendant.**

**Ms Sushila Rana, estranged wife of the plaintiff in
person.**

There is a matrimonial dispute going on between the plaintiff and his wife and owing to that, there is also a dispute amongst them as regards the ownership of the gold lying with the defendant against which loans at different points of time were availed sometimes by the wife and once by the plaintiff. Be that as it may, the dispute herein is not in respect of the gold loan or the ownership of the gold, but is in respect of the FDR issued by the defendant in the name of the plaintiff, which is totally unrelated with the gold loan as it is neither the security to the loan, nor the lien.

The counsel for the defendant very fairly states that he would discuss with the management of the defendant regarding the liquidation of the FDR, independently of the controversy amongst the spouses relating to the gold loan and ownership of the said gold.

To come up for further proceedings on **25.04.2026.**

**(Sidharth Mathur)
District Judge-01(N)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/ 04.04.2026(T)**